



FIDELITY NATIONAL FINANCIAL, INC.

601 RIVERSIDE AVENUE
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32204

APRIL 30, 2019

Dear Shareholder:

On behalf of the board of directors, I cordially invite you to attend the annual meeting of the shareholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc. The meeting will be held on June 12, 2019 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, in the Peninsular Auditorium at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204. The formal Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for this meeting are attached to this letter.

The Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement contain more information about the annual meeting, including:

- Who can vote; and
- The different methods you can use to vote, including the telephone, Internet and traditional paper proxy card.

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please vote by one of these outlined methods to ensure that your shares are represented and voted in accordance with your wishes.

On behalf of the board of directors, I thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "R. Quirk". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped initial "R".

Raymond R. Quirk
Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To the Shareholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc.:

Notice is hereby given that the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc. will be held on June 12, 2019 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, in the Peninsular Auditorium at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204 in order to:

1. Elect three Class II directors to serve until the 2022 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified or their earlier death, resignation or removal;
2. Approve a non-binding advisory resolution on the compensation paid to our named executive officers; and
3. Ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2019 fiscal year.

At the meeting, we will also transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

The board of directors set April 15, 2019 as the record date for the meeting. This means that owners of FNF's common stock at the close of business on that date are entitled to:

- Receive notice of the meeting; and
- Vote at the meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting in person. However, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting in person,

please read these proxy materials and cast your vote on the matters that will be presented at the annual meeting. You may vote your shares through the Internet, by telephone, or by mailing the enclosed proxy card. Instructions for our registered shareholders are described under the question "How do I vote?" on page 3 of the proxy statement.

Sincerely,



Michael L. Gravelle
Corporate Secretary

Jacksonville, Florida
April 30, 2019

PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE AND SIGN THE ENCLOSED PROXY AND MAIL IT PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE (OR VOTE VIA TELEPHONE OR INTERNET) TO ASSURE REPRESENTATION OF YOUR SHARES.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	General Information About the Company
3	General Information About the Annual Meeting
7	Corporate Governance and Related Matters
17	Certain Information About our Directors
24	Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors
25	Certain Information About our Executive Officers
26	Compensation Discussion and Analysis
46	Executive Compensation
66	Proposal No. 2: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation
69	Proposal No. 3: Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation
71	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners, Directors and Executive Officers
73	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions
83	Shareholder Proposals
84	Other Matters
84	Available Information

PROXY STATEMENT

The enclosed proxy is solicited by the board of directors, or *the board*, of Fidelity National Financial, Inc., or *FNF* or *the Company*, for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on June 12, 2019 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, or at any adjournment thereof, for the purposes set forth herein and in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The annual meeting will be held in the Peninsular Auditorium at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida.

It is anticipated that such proxy, together with this proxy statement, will first be mailed on or about April 30, 2019 to all shareholders entitled to vote at the meeting.

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204, and its telephone number at that address is (904) 854-8100.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY

We are a leading provider of (i) title insurance, escrow and other title-related services, including trust activities, trustee sales guarantees, recordings and reconveyances and home warranty products and (ii) transaction services to the real estate and mortgage industries. FNF is one of the nation's largest title insurance companies operating through its title insurance underwriters – Fidelity National Title Insurance Company, Chicago Title Insurance Company, Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company, Alamo Title Insurance and National Title Insurance of New York Inc. – which collectively issue more title insurance policies than any other title company in the United States. Through our subsidiary ServiceLink Holdings, LLC, or *ServiceLink*, we provide mortgage transaction services, including title-related services and facilitation of production and management of mortgage loans.

Recent Transactions

On September 29, 2017, we completed our tax-free distribution to our FNF Group shareholders of all 83.3 million shares of New BKH Corp., or *New BKH*, common stock that we previously owned, which we refer to as the *Spin-Off*. Immediately following the Spin-Off, New BKH and our majority-owned subsidiary Black Knight Financial Services, Inc., or *BKFS*, engaged in a series of transactions resulting in the formation of a new publicly-traded holding company, Black Knight, Inc., or *Black Knight*, which owns all of the outstanding shares of BKFS. In the Spin-Off, holders of FNF Group common stock received approximately 0.30663 shares of Black Knight common stock for each share of FNF Group common stock held at the close of business on September 20, 2017. Black Knight's common stock is listed under the symbol "BKI" on the New York Stock Exchange.

On November 17, 2017 we completed the split-off, which we refer to as the *Split-Off*, of our former wholly-owned subsidiary Cannae Holdings, Inc., or *Cannae*, which consists of the businesses, assets and liabilities formerly attributed to our FNF Ventures Group, or *FNFV Group*, including Ceridian Holding, LLC, American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC and T-System Holding LLC. The Split-Off was

accomplished by our redemption of all of the outstanding shares of our FNFV Group common stock for outstanding shares of common stock of Cannae on a one-for-one basis. As a result of the Split-Off, Cannae is a separate, publicly-traded company whose stock is listed under the symbol “CNNE” on the New York Stock Exchange.

As a result of these transactions, FNF is a more streamlined company with a pure focus within the title and real estate space. We expect to continue to focus on growing our business organically and through strategic acquisitions.

Stewart Merger

On March 19, 2018, we announced that we and certain of our wholly-owned subsidiaries had entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the *Merger Agreement*, with Stewart Information Services Corporation, or *Stewart*. Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, we expect to acquire Stewart for \$50.00 per share of common stock, subject to potential adjustment as described below, representing an equity value of approximately \$1.2 billion. The consideration will be paid 50% in cash and 50% in FNF common stock. Stewart stockholders will also have the option to elect to receive their consideration in all cash or all stock, subject to pro-rata reductions to the extent the cash or stock option is oversubscribed. The FNF common stock component will be subject to a fixed exchange ratio that is based on FNF’s volume weighted average price for the twenty trading days prior to the signing of the merger agreement. For those Stewart stockholders who elect to receive all FNF stock, the exchange ratio will be equal to 1.2850, subject to potential adjustment as described below and proration to the extent the stock option is oversubscribed. We refer to the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement as the *Stewart Merger*. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, if the combined company is required to divest assets or businesses for which 2017 annual revenues exceed \$75 million, up to a cap of \$225 million, in order to receive required regulatory approvals, the purchase price will be adjusted down on a pro-rata basis to a minimum purchase price of \$45.50 per share of Stewart’s common stock. If the Stewart Merger is not completed for failure to obtain the required regulatory approvals, we would be required to pay a reverse break-up fee of \$50 million to Stewart. A majority of Stewart’s shareholders voted to approve the Merger on September 5, 2018. On March 11, 2019, we exercised our first option to extend the date upon which the Merger Agreement may be terminated by us or Stewart to June 18, 2019. We continue to work through the regulatory process for the Stewart Merger.

The Stewart Merger remains subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including the risk that the necessary regulatory approvals may not be obtained or may be obtained subject to conditions that are not anticipated; risks that any of the closing conditions to the proposed merger may not be satisfied in a timely manner; the risk that the businesses will not be integrated successfully, that such integration may be more difficult, time-consuming or costly than expected or that the expected benefits of the acquisition will not be realized; and other risks detailed in the “Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information,” “Risk Factors” and other sections of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K and other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Your shares can be voted at the annual meeting only if you vote by proxy or if you are present and vote in person. Even if you expect to attend the annual meeting, please vote by proxy to assure that your shares will be represented.

WHY DID I RECEIVE THIS PROXY STATEMENT?

The board is soliciting your proxy to vote at the annual meeting because you were a holder of our common stock at the close of business on April 15, 2019, which we refer to as the record date, and therefore you are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. This proxy statement contains information about the matters to be voted on at the annual meeting, and the voting process, as well as information about the Company's directors and executive officers.

WHO IS ENTITLED TO VOTE?

All record holders of our common stock as of the close of business on April 15, 2019 are entitled to vote. As of the close of business on that day, 274,856,177 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding and eligible to vote. Each share is entitled to one vote on each matter presented at the annual meeting.

If you hold your shares of FNF common stock through a broker, bank or other nominee, you are considered a "beneficial owner," and you will receive separate instructions from the nominee describing how to vote your shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your nominee on how to vote your shares. Beneficial owners may also vote their shares in person at the annual meeting after first obtaining a legal proxy from their nominees by following the instructions provided by their nominees, and presenting the legal proxy to the election inspectors at the annual meeting.

WHAT SHARES ARE COVERED BY THE PROXY CARD?

The proxy card covers all shares of FNF common stock held by you of record (i.e., shares registered in your name) and any shares of FNF common stock held for your benefit in our 401(k) plan.

HOW DO I VOTE?

You may vote using any of the following methods:

- **In person at the annual meeting.** All shareholders may vote in person at the annual meeting by bringing the enclosed proxy card or proof of identification, but if you are a beneficial owner (as opposed to a record holder), you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or nominee and present it to the inspectors at the annual meeting with your ballot when you vote at the meeting; or

- **By proxy.** There are three ways to vote by proxy:
 - By mail, using the enclosed proxy card and return envelope;
 - By telephone, using the telephone number printed on the proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card; or
 - By the Internet, using a unique password printed on your proxy card and following the instructions on the proxy card.

Even if you expect to attend the annual meeting, please vote by proxy to assure that your shares will be represented.

WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO VOTE BY PROXY?

It means that you give someone else the right to vote your shares in accordance with your instructions. In this case, we are asking you to give your proxy to our Chief Executive Officer, Corporate Secretary, Assistant Corporate Secretary, and each of them, who are sometimes referred to as the “proxy holders.” By giving your proxy to the proxy holders, you assure that your vote will be counted even if you are unable to attend the annual meeting. If you give your proxy but do not include specific instructions on how to vote on a particular proposal described in this proxy statement, the proxy holders will vote your shares in accordance with the recommendation of the board for such proposal.

ON WHAT AM I VOTING?

You will be asked to consider four proposals at the annual meeting.

- **Proposal No. 1** asks you to elect three Class II directors to serve until the 2022 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.
- **Proposal No. 2** asks you to approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the compensation paid to our named executive officers in 2018.
- **Proposal No. 3** asks you to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2019 fiscal year.

HOW DOES THE BOARD RECOMMEND THAT I VOTE ON THESE PROPOSALS?

The board recommends that you vote “FOR” each of Proposals 1 through 3.

WHAT HAPPENS IF OTHER MATTERS ARE RAISED AT THE MEETING?

Although we are not aware of any matters to be presented at the annual meeting other than those contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting, if other matters are properly raised at the annual meeting in accordance with the procedures specified in FNF’s certificate of incorporation and bylaws, all proxies given to the proxy holders will be voted in accordance with their best judgment.

WHAT IF I SUBMIT A PROXY AND LATER CHANGE MY MIND?

If you have submitted your proxy and later wish to revoke it, you may do so by doing one of the following: giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary prior to the annual meeting; submitting another proxy bearing a later date (in any of the permitted forms) prior to the annual meeting; or casting a ballot in person at the annual meeting.

WHO WILL COUNT THE VOTES?

Broadridge Investor Communications Services will serve as proxy tabulator and count the votes, and the results will be certified by the inspector of election.

HOW MANY VOTES MUST EACH PROPOSAL RECEIVE TO BE ADOPTED?

The following votes must be received:

- **For Proposal No. 1** regarding the election of directors, a majority of votes of our common stock cast is required to elect a director. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect.
- **For Proposal No. 2** regarding a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation paid to our named executive officers, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of our common stock represented and entitled to vote would be required for approval. Even though your vote is advisory and therefore will not be binding on the Company, the board will review the voting result and take it into consideration when making future decisions regarding the compensation paid to our named executive officers. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal and broker non-votes will have no effect.
- **For Proposal No. 3** regarding the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP, under Delaware law, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of our common stock represented and entitled to vote would be required for approval. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal. Because this proposal is considered a “routine” matter under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, nominees may vote in their discretion on this proposal on behalf of beneficial owners who have not furnished voting instructions.

WHAT CONSTITUTES A QUORUM?

A quorum is present if a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting are present in person or represented by proxy. Broker non-votes and abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum of each class is present.

WHAT ARE BROKER NON-VOTES? IF I DO NOT VOTE, WILL MY BROKER VOTE FOR ME?

Broker non-votes occur when nominees, such as banks and brokers holding shares on behalf of beneficial owners, do not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owners at least ten days before the meeting. If that happens, the nominees may vote those shares only on matters deemed “routine” by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the rules promulgated by the New York Stock Exchange thereunder.

The Company believes that all the proposals to be voted on at the annual meeting, except for Proposal 3 regarding the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, are not “routine” matters. On non-routine matters, such as Proposals No. 1 and 2, nominees cannot vote unless they receive voting instructions from beneficial owners. Please be sure to give specific voting instructions to your nominee so that your vote can be counted.

WHAT EFFECT DOES AN ABSTENTION HAVE?

With respect to Proposal 1, abstentions or directions to withhold authority will not be included in vote totals and will not affect the outcome of the vote. With respect to each of Proposals 2 and 3, abstentions will have the effect of a vote against the proposals pursuant to our bylaws and Delaware law, which require that the proposal receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented and entitled to vote.

WHO PAYS THE COST OF SOLICITING PROXIES?

We pay the cost of the solicitation of proxies, including preparing and mailing the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders, this proxy statement and the proxy card. Following the mailing of this proxy statement, directors, officers and employees of the Company may solicit proxies by telephone, facsimile transmission or other personal contact. Such persons will receive no additional compensation for such services. Brokerage houses and other nominees, fiduciaries and custodians who are holders of record of shares of our common stock will be requested to forward proxy soliciting material to the beneficial owners of such shares and will be reimbursed by the Company for their charges and expenses in connection therewith at customary and reasonable rates. In addition, the Company has retained Georgeson Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies for an estimated fee of \$9,500 plus reimbursement of expenses.

WHAT IF I SHARE A HOUSEHOLD WITH ANOTHER SHAREHOLDER?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission, called “householding.” Under this procedure, FNF shareholders of record who have the same address and last name and do not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials will receive only one copy of our Annual Report and Proxy Statement unless one or more of these shareholders notifies us that they wish to continue receiving individual copies. This procedure will reduce our printing costs and postage fees. Shareholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards. Also, householding will not in any way affect dividend check

mailings. If you are a shareholder who resides in the same household with another shareholder, or if you hold more than one account registered in your name at the same address, and wish to receive a separate proxy statement and annual report or notice of internet availability of proxy materials for each account, please contact, Broadridge, toll free at 1-866-540-7095. You may also write to Broadridge, Householding Department, at 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. Beneficial shareholders can request information about householding from their banks, brokers or other holders of record. We hereby undertake to deliver promptly upon written or oral request, a separate copy of the Annual Report to Shareholders, or this Proxy Statement, as applicable, to a shareholder at a shared address to which a single copy of the document was delivered.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE HIGHLIGHTS

Our board is focused on good governance practices, which promote the long-term interests of our shareholders and support accountability of our board of directors and management. Our board of directors has implemented the following measures to improve our overall governance practices. See “Corporate Governance and Related Matters” for more detail on FNF’s governance practices.

- Proxy access right adopted in response to support from shareholders
- Majority voting in uncontested director elections proposed by management and adopted in response to shareholder support
- Independent leadership of our board of directors by our strong Lead Independent Director
- Annual performance evaluations of the board of directors and committees
- Robust stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers and directors
- Clawback policy
- Shareholders may act by written consent
- Independent audit, compensation and corporate governance and nominating committees
- Shareholder engagement on compensation and governance issues
- No supermajority voting requirement for shareholders to act

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND RELATED MATTERS

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES

Our corporate governance guidelines provide, along with the charters of the committees of the board of directors, a framework for the functioning of the board of directors and its committees and to establish a common set of expectations as to how the board of directors should perform its functions. The Corporate Governance Guidelines address a number of areas including the size and composition of the board, board membership criteria and director qualifications (including consideration of all aspects of diversity when considering new director nominees, including

diversity of age, gender, nationality, race, ethnicity and sexual orientation), director responsibilities, board agenda, roles of the Chairman of the board of directors, Chief Executive Officer and Lead Director, meetings of independent directors, committee responsibilities and assignments, board member access to management and independent advisors, director communications with third parties, director compensation, director orientation and continuing education, evaluation of senior management and management succession planning. These guidelines specifically provide that a majority of the members of the board of directors must be outside directors whom the board of directors has determined have no material relationship with us and whom otherwise meet the independence criteria established by the New York Stock Exchange. The board of directors reviews these guidelines and other aspects of our governance at least annually. The board reviewed our corporate governance guidelines in February 2019 without significant change. A copy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines is available for review on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com.

CODE OF ETHICS AND BUSINESS CONDUCT

Our board of directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, which is applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our Chief Accounting Officer, and a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all our directors, officers and employees. The purpose of these codes is to: (i) promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of conflicts of interest; (ii) promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure; (iii) promote compliance with applicable laws and governmental rules and regulations; (iv) ensure the protection of our legitimate business interests, including corporate opportunities, assets and confidential information; and (v) deter wrongdoing. Our codes of ethics are designed to maintain our commitment to our longstanding standards for ethical business practices. Our reputation for integrity is one of our most important assets and each of our employees and directors is expected to contribute to the care and preservation of that asset. Under our codes of ethics, an amendment to or a waiver or modification of any ethics policy applicable to our directors or executive officers must be disclosed to the extent required under Securities and Exchange Commission and/or New York Stock Exchange rules. We intend to disclose any such amendment or waiver by posting it on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com.

Copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers are available for review on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com.

CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY

Community Engagement

We believe in the importance of volunteerism and philanthropy as ways of strengthening and engaging local communities. With over 1,400 offices nationwide, FNF is in a unique position to champion these efforts at the local level, fostering a deep connection between our Company, our employees and the surrounding communities. Throughout the years, the FNF family of companies have supported such national charitable organizations as United Way, the American Heart Association, The Folded Flag Foundation, Make-A-Wish Foundation, Habitat for Humanity, and The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, among others. In times of crisis, FNF has setup donation campaigns to help those affected by fires, floods, and hurricanes, as well as those affected by national tragedies that have occurred in recent years.

FNF makes the greatest impact in the cities and towns in which we operate at the level of our local operations. Employees gather school clothes and supplies, winter coats, shoes, and holiday gifts for many hometown charitable organizations that support families in need. Hundreds of hours of employee volunteer time are given locally to help clean up communities, raise funds and awareness for various healthcare philanthropic causes, and feed the hungry. FNF strives to make a difference in all of the communities we serve.

Diversity

FNF stands committed to our philosophy that all employees deserve an inclusive workplace, one where each employee feels heard and empowered, and we have many women in leadership roles throughout our organization. All employees must be given equal access to opportunities throughout our organization. We regard having an inclusive work environment and a variety of employee ideas, perspectives, and experiences as a key component of our success. The diversity of our employees allows us to connect to our customers in important ways and thus be able to offer them meaningful, customized products and services that resonate with their unique needs.

Our board leads by example in its commitment to diversity. In 2017, Heather H. Murren joined our board, and in 2018, our board codified its commitment to consider all aspects of diversity when selecting new director nominees, including candidates with a diversity of age, gender, nationality, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation by integrating it into the director selection criteria in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Sustainability

FNF recognizes our duty to conduct our business in an environmentally responsible manner. From eliminating the use of water bottles in favor of filtered water dispensers to participating in recycling programs, all of our locations are helping make a difference in the fight to save our environment.

Other sustainability efforts include records management and the use and disposal of IT equipment. FNF partners with vendors that have a commitment to sustainability. Our Record Management Centers are undergoing a complete digitization effort to consolidate records facilities and reduce paper. Once paper records are securely destroyed in accordance with federal, state and industry regulations, our vendor disposes of the waste in an environmentally friendly manner. Information technology asset disposal (computers, monitors, servers, mobile devices, etc.) is managed by an e-Steward certified vendor and process. After safely removing any data from the IT asset, it is either reused to maximize its lifecycle or securely recycled. Our vendor safely manages the waste stream of the thousands of pounds of electronics retired by FNF and ServiceLink each year.

Our commitment does not stop at our organization alone. Our Digital Strategy Initiative is another way FNF is making a commitment to moving the title insurance industry as a whole in a more sustainable direction. This initiative seeks to drastically reduce the amount of paper used in the closing process by using customer-focused technology at every point possible in the real estate transaction.

Information Technology and Security and Risk Management

We are highly dependent on information technology networks and systems to securely process, transmit and store electronic information. Attacks on information technology systems continue to

grow in frequency, complexity and sophistication. Such attacks have become a point of focus for individuals, businesses and governmental entities. These attacks can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information, including non-public personal information, consumer data and proprietary business information.

We remain focused on making strategic investments in information security to protect our clients and our information systems. This includes both capital expenditures and operating expenses on hardware, software, personnel and consulting services. We apply a comprehensive approach to the mitigation of identified security risks. We have established risk management policies, including those related to privacy, information security and cybersecurity, and we employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and risk mitigation techniques.

Our board has a strong focus on cybersecurity. At each regular meeting of the audit committee of our board of directors, our Chief Risk Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Chief Information Security Officer and Chief Internal Auditor provide reports relating to our cyber and data security practices, risk assessments, emerging issues and any security incidents. Our audit committee chairman reports on these discussions to our board of directors on a quarterly basis. In addition, Mr. Rood has attended third-party director education courses on cybersecurity and privacy issues and trends.

Our employees are one of our strongest assets in protecting our customers' information and mitigating risk. We maintain comprehensive and tailored training programs that focus on applicable privacy, security, legal and regulatory requirements that provide ongoing enhancement of the security and risk culture at FNF. We continue to provide strong focus on all areas of cybersecurity including threat and vulnerability management, security monitoring, identity and access management, phishing awareness, risk oversight, third-party risk management, disaster recovery and continuity management.

THE BOARD

Our board is composed of Douglas K. Ammerman, William P. Foley, II, Thomas M. Hagerty, Daniel D. (Ron) Lane, Richard N. Massey, Heather H. Murren, Raymond R. Quirk, John D. Rood, Peter O. Shea, Jr. and Cary H. Thompson, with Mr. Foley serving as non-executive Chairman of the Board. Additionally, Willie D. Davis serves as Director Emeritus, and may attend and participate in meetings, but does not vote on board matters and his attendance is not considered in determining whether a quorum is present.

Our board met five times in 2018. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the board and of the committees on which they served during 2018. Our non-management directors also met periodically in executive sessions without management, and our Lead Director presides over these executive sessions. We do not, as a general matter, require our board members to attend our annual meeting of shareholders, although each of our directors is invited to attend our 2019 annual meeting. During 2018, one of our board members attended the annual meeting of shareholders.

MAJORITY VOTING

In February 2017, our board of directors amended and restated our bylaws to implement “majority voting” in uncontested director elections. Pursuant to Section 3.1 of our bylaws, each director is elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to the director at any meeting for the election of directors at which a quorum is present. However, if as of 10 days in advance of the date we file our proxy statement with the SEC the number of director nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected in such election (a “contested election”), the directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes cast.

In an uncontested election of directors, any incumbent director who does not receive a majority of the votes cast will promptly tender his resignation to the board of directors. The board will decide, after considering the recommendation of the corporate governance and nominating committee, whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, or whether other action should be taken. The director nominee in question will not participate in the recommendation or decision making process. We will publicly disclose an explanation by the board of its decision within 90 days after we publish the election results. If the board determines to accept a director’s resignation, or if a director nominee who is not an incumbent director is not elected, then the board, in its sole discretion, may fill any resulting vacancy in accordance with our bylaws.

DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

All of our directors other than Mr. Quirk, who is our Chief Executive Officer, are non-employees. During the first quarter of 2019, the board of directors determined that Douglas K. Ammerman, Thomas M. Hagerty, Daniel D. Lane, Richard N. Massey, Heather H. Murren, John D. Rood, Peter O. Shea, Jr. and Cary H. Thompson are independent under the criteria established by the New York Stock Exchange and our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The board of directors also determined that Messrs. Massey, Lane and Thompson meet the additional independence standards of the New York Stock Exchange for compensation committee members.

In determining independence, the board considered all relationships that might bear on our directors’ independence from FNF. The board of directors determined that William P. Foley, II is not independent because he was the Executive Chairman and an employee of Black Knight which, until the Spin-Off on September 29, 2017, was a subsidiary of FNF; and Raymond R. Quirk is not independent because he is the Chief Executive Officer and an employee of FNF.

In considering the independence of Douglas K. Ammerman, Thomas M. Hagerty, Heather H. Murren, Richard N. Massey, John D. Rood and Cary H. Thompson, the board of directors considered the following factors:

- Until April 2018, Mr. Hagerty, Mr. Massey, Mr. Rood and Mr. Thompson each served as directors of, and they continue to own equity interests in, our subsidiary ServiceLink. Mr. Hagerty, Mr. Massey and Mr. Rood also serve as directors of and own shares of Black Knight, which was our subsidiary prior to the Spin-Off in September 2017.

- Mr. Hagerty is a Managing Director of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., which owns approximately 20.9% of the outstanding interests in ServiceLink.
- Messrs. Ammerman, Hagerty, Massey and Rood each own a small non-voting minority interest in Black Knight Sports and Entertainment LLC, which owns the Vegas Golden Knights. Mr. Foley is the majority interest holder, and is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Black Knight Sports and Entertainment LLC.
- Ms. Murren's spouse is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of MGM Resorts International, which owns a majority interest in the T-Mobile Arena where the Vegas Golden Knights play home games. FNF is a season ticket holder for Vegas Golden Knights home games. In addition, FNF may use MGM hotel and conference facilities from time to time for corporate events. Amounts paid by FNF to entities owned or controlled by MGM Resorts International are at market rates.
- Mr. Thompson is an Executive Vice Chairman of Bank of America Merrill Lynch, and FNF made payments to and received payments from entities affiliated with Bank of America Merrill Lynch in 2018. The board of directors determined that these payments do not impair Mr. Thompson's independence because his compensation from Bank of America Merrill Lynch is not dependent on the amount of business Bank of America Merrill Lynch or its affiliates does with FNF or its subsidiaries.
- Messrs. Ammerman, Hagerty and Massey each serve on the board of directors of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (*D&B*), a privately held company, and the general partner of Star Parent, L.P., the limited partnership that owns D&B. In addition, Messrs. Ammerman and Massey hold a small limited partnership interest in Star Parent, and affiliates of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. owns a significant interest in Star Parent.

The board of directors determined that these relationships were not of a nature that would impair the independence of Mr. Ammerman, Mr. Hagerty, Ms. Murren, Mr. Massey, Mr. Rood or Mr. Thompson. Mr. Lane and Mr. Shea had no relationships with the Company that required consideration in determining their independence.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The board has three standing committees: an audit committee, a compensation committee and a corporate governance and nominating committee. The charter of each standing committee is available on the Investor Relations page of our website at www.fnf.com. Shareholders also may obtain a copy of any of these charters by writing to the Corporate Secretary at the address set forth under "Available Information" below.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND NOMINATING COMMITTEE

The members of the corporate governance and nominating committee are Peter O. Shea, Jr. (Chair) and Richard N. Massey. Each of Messrs. Shea and Massey was deemed to be independent by the board, as required by the New York Stock Exchange. The corporate governance and nominating committee acted by written consent but did not meet in 2018.

The primary functions of the corporate governance and nominating committee, as identified in its charter, are:

- Identifying individuals qualified to become members of the board and making recommendations to the board regarding nominees for election;
- Reviewing the independence of each director and making a recommendation to the board with respect to each director's independence;
- Developing and recommending to the board the corporate governance principles applicable to us and reviewing our corporate governance guidelines at least annually;
- Making recommendations to the board with respect to the membership of the audit, compensation and corporate governance and nominating committees;
- Overseeing the evaluation of the performance of the board and its committees on a continuing basis, including an annual self-evaluation of the performance of the corporate governance and nominating committee;
- Considering director nominees recommended by shareholders; and
- Reviewing our overall corporate governance and reporting to the board on its findings and any recommendations.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The members of the audit committee are Douglas K. Ammerman (Chair), Heather H. Murren and John D. Rood. The board has determined that each of the audit committee members is financially literate and independent as required by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange, and that each of Mr. Ammerman, Ms. Murren and Mr. Rood is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The board of directors also reviewed Mr. Ammerman's service on the audit committee in light of his concurrent service on the audit committees of three other public companies. The board of directors considered Mr. Ammerman's extensive financial and accounting background and expertise as a former partner of KPMG, his knowledge of our company and understanding of our financial statements as a long time director and audit committee member, and the fact that Mr. Ammerman is retired from active employment, and determined that Mr. Ammerman's service on the audit committees of four public companies, including FNF's audit committee, would not impair his ability to effectively serve on FNF's audit committee. The audit committee met seven times in 2018.

The primary functions of the audit committee include:

- Appointing, compensating and overseeing our independent registered public accounting firm;
- Overseeing the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- Discussing the annual audited financial statements and unaudited quarterly financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm;

- Establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints (including anonymous complaints) we receive concerning accounting, internal accounting controls, auditing matters or potential violations of law;
- Approving audit and non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm;
- Discussing earnings press releases and financial information provided to analysts and rating agencies;
- Discussing with management our policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management;
- Reviewing any material transaction between our chief financial officer or chief accounting officer that has been approved in accordance with our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers, and providing prior written approval of any material transaction between us and our chief executive officer; and
- Producing an annual report for inclusion in our proxy statement, in accordance with applicable rules and regulations.

The audit committee is a separately-designated standing committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The audit committee of the board of directors submits the following report on the performance of certain of its responsibilities for the year 2018:

The primary function of our audit committee is oversight of (i) the quality and integrity of our financial statements and related disclosures, (ii) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and (iv) the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm. Our audit committee acts under a written charter, and we review the adequacy of our charter at least annually. Our audit committee is comprised of the three directors named below, each of whom has been determined by the board of directors to be independent as defined by New York Stock Exchange independence standards. In addition, our board of directors has determined that each of Mr. Ammerman, Ms. Murren and Mr. Rood is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In performing our oversight function, we reviewed and discussed with management and Ernst & Young LLP, or EY, our independent registered public accounting firm, our audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018. Management and EY reported to us that our consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position and results of operations and cash flows of FNF and its subsidiaries in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. We also discussed with EY matters covered by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standards No. 16 (Communications With Audit Committees).

We have received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from EY required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence,

and have discussed with them their independence. In addition, we have considered whether EY's provision of non-audit services to us is compatible with their independence.

Finally, we discussed with our internal auditors and EY the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. We met with EY at each meeting. Management was present for some, but not all, of these discussions. These discussions included the results of their examinations, their evaluations of our internal controls and the overall quality of our financial reporting.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended to our board of directors that the audited financial statements referred to above be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, and that EY be appointed independent registered public accounting firm for FNF for 2019.

In carrying out our responsibilities, we look to management and the independent registered public accounting firm. Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of our financial statements and for maintaining effective internal control. Management is also responsible for assessing and maintaining the effectiveness of internal control over the financial reporting process. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing our annual financial statements and expressing an opinion as to whether the statements are fairly stated in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The independent registered public accounting firm performs its responsibilities in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. Our members are not professionally engaged in the practice of accounting or auditing, and are not experts under the Exchange Act in either of those fields or in auditor independence.

The foregoing report is provided by the following independent directors, who constitute the committee:

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Douglas K. Ammerman (*Chair*)

Heather H. Murren

John D. Rood

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The members of the compensation committee are Richard N. Massey (Chair), Daniel D. Lane and Cary H. Thompson. Each of Messrs. Massey, Lane and Thompson was deemed to be independent by the board, as required by the New York Stock Exchange. The compensation committee met four times during 2018. Our compensation committee reviews its charter annually. The functions of the compensation committee include the following:

- Reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, evaluating their performance in light of those goals and objectives, and setting the Chief Executive Officer's compensation level based on this evaluation;
- Setting salaries and approving incentive compensation and equity awards, as well as compensation policies, for all other officers who are designated as Section 16 officers by our board;

- Making recommendations to the board with respect to incentive compensation programs and equity-based plans that are subject to board approval;
- Approving any employment or severance agreements with our Section 16 officers;
- Granting any awards under equity compensation plans and annual bonus plans to our Chief Executive Officer and other Section 16 Officers;
- Approving the compensation of our directors; and
- Producing an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our proxy statement, in accordance with applicable rules and regulations.

For more information regarding the responsibilities of the compensation committee, please refer to the section of this proxy statement entitled “Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive and Director Compensation” above.

BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE AND ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT

We have separated the positions of CEO and Chairman of the board of directors in recognition of the differences between the two roles. Richard N. Massey, one of our independent directors, serves as our Lead Director. The board considers it to be useful and appropriate to designate a Lead Director to coordinate the activities of the other non-employee directors and to perform such other duties and responsibilities as the board may determine. Our board has adopted a Charter of the Lead Independent Director that defines the responsibilities of the Lead Director, which include:

- Preside at meetings of the board of directors in the absence of, or upon the request of, the Chairman;
- Review board meeting agendas and schedules in collaboration with the Chairman and recommend matters for the board to consider and information to be provided to the board;
- Serve as a liaison and supplemental channel of communication between non employee/independent directors and the Chairman without inhibiting direct communications between the Chairman and other directors;
- Serve as the principal liaison for consultation and communication between the non employee/independent directors and shareholders;
- Advise the Chairman concerning the retention of advisors and consultants who report directly to the board; and
- Be available to major shareholders for consultation and direct communication.

The board of directors administers its risk oversight function directly and through committees. The audit committee oversees FNF’s financial reporting process, risk management program, legal and regulatory compliance, performance of the independent auditor, internal audit function, and financial and disclosure controls. Management also reports quarterly to the audit committee and the board of directors regarding claims, and the audit committee receives quarterly reports on compliance matters.

Our board has a strong focus on cyber-security. At each regular meeting of the audit committee, our Chief Risk Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Chief Information Security Officer and Chief Internal Audit Officer provide reports relating to our cyber and data security practices, risk assessments, emerging issues and any security incidents. Our audit committee chairman reports on these discussions to our board of directors on a quarterly basis. In addition, Mr. Rood has attended third-party director education courses on cyber-security and privacy issues and trends.

The corporate governance and nominating committee considers the adequacy of FNF's governance structures and policies. The compensation committee reviews and approves FNF's compensation and other benefit plans, policies and programs and considers whether any of those plans, policies or programs creates risks that are likely to have a material adverse effect on FNF. Each committee provides reports on its activities to the full board of directors.

CONTACTING THE BOARD

Any shareholder or other interested person who desires to contact any member of the board or the non-management members of the board as a group may do so by writing to: Board of Directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, Fidelity National Financial, Inc., 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, FL 32204. Communications received are distributed by the Corporate Secretary to the appropriate member or members of the board.

CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT OUR DIRECTORS

DIRECTOR CRITERIA, QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE AND PROCESS FOR SELECTING DIRECTORS

Following the Black Knight Spin-Off and the Cannae Split-Off in 2017, FNF is a more streamlined company with a pure focus within the title and real estate space. Title insurance revenue is closely related to the level of real estate activity which includes sales, mortgage financing and mortgage refinancing. The levels of real estate activity are primarily affected by the average price of real estate sales, the availability of funds to finance purchases and mortgage interest rates. The Mortgage Bankers Association's ("MBA") Mortgage Finance Forecast as of March 21, 2019 predicts overall mortgage originations in 2019 and 2020 will remain relatively flat compared to the 2018 period. Originations are expected to be driven by a slight increase in originations from purchase transactions mostly offset by a slight decrease in originations from refinance transactions. In 2019, we expect to continue to focus on growing our business organically while carefully managing costs to address any changes in the mortgage market. Following closing of the Stewart Merger, we will also focus on integration of the Stewart businesses and achieving synergy targets to drive value for our shareholders. We believe the skills and experience of our board members are well suited to provide strong oversight and guidance as our management works to drive growth and manage costs and integrate Stewart following closing of the Stewart Merger.

Our board and the corporate governance and nominating committee is committed to include the best available candidates for nomination to election to our board based on merit. Our board and our corporate governance and nominating committee continuously evaluates our board's

composition with the goal of developing a board that meets our strategic goals, and one that includes diverse, experienced and highly qualified individuals.

The corporate governance and nominating committee does not set specific, minimum qualifications that nominees must meet in order for the committee to recommend them to the board, but rather believes that each nominee should be evaluated based on his or her individual merits, taking into account our needs and the overall composition of the board. In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the corporate governance and nominating committee considers, among other things, the following criteria in fulfilling its duty to recommend nominees for election as directors:

- Personal qualities and characteristics, accomplishments and reputation in the business community;
- Current knowledge and contacts in the communities in which we do business and in our industry or other industries relevant to our business;
- Ability and willingness to commit adequate time to the board and committee matters;
- The fit of the individual's skills and personality with those of other directors and potential directors in building a board that is effective, collegial and responsive to our needs; and
- Diversity of viewpoints, background, experience, and other demographics, and all aspects of diversity in order to enable the Board to perform its duties and responsibilities effectively, including candidates with a diversity of age, gender, nationality, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation.

Each year in connection with the nomination of candidates for election to the board, the corporate governance and nominating committee evaluates the background of each candidate, including candidates that may be submitted by shareholders.

We believe that the current composition of our board has served us well and that our current directors possess relevant experience, skills and qualifications that contribute to a well-functioning board that effectively oversees our long-term strategy. FNF has undergone significant change in recent years, and our board believes that it has been important to maintain consistency in our board to execute upon our long-term strategy, while selectively adding new board members who have important skill sets, experience or diversity of viewpoint. Our board believes our board, which is composed of directors who have a strong understanding of our business, operational and strategic goals, as well as our industry and the risks we face, has been crucial to our ability to effectively execute on our long-term strategy.

Our corporate governance and nominating committee regularly examines ways that it could foster the diversity of our board across many dimensions to maintain its ability to operate at a high-functioning level and to reflect the board's commitment to inclusiveness. In connection with this examination, the committee revised our Corporate Governance Guidelines to expressly include diversity of age, gender, nationality, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation as a part of the criteria the committee may consider when selecting nominees for election to the board, all in the context of the needs of our board at any given point in time. Specifically, the corporate governance and nominating committee is focused on considering highly qualified women and individuals from minority groups who may be recommended by our directors, management, or our shareholders as candidates for nomination as directors.

PROXY ACCESS

In February 2016, we amended our bylaws to implement a “proxy access” procedure for shareholder director nominations. Pursuant to Section 3.1 of our bylaws, a shareholder, or a group of up to 25 shareholders, may include in our proxy materials director nominees constituting up to two individuals or 20% of our board, whichever is greater, provided that:

- The nominating shareholder(s) own a number of shares representing 3% or more of the total voting power of the Company’s outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors;
- The nominating shareholder(s) have owned that number of shares continuously for at least three years; and
- The nominating shareholder(s) and their director nominee(s) otherwise satisfy the applicable requirements of Section 3.1 of the amended and restated bylaws.

A shareholder who wishes to suggest a qualified candidate for director to the corporate governance and nominating committee but does not meet the requirements described above may do so by writing to our Corporate Secretary at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204. The submission must provide the information required by, and otherwise comply with the procedures set forth in, Section 3.1 of our bylaws. Section 3.1 also requires that the nomination notice be submitted by a prescribed time in advance of the meeting. See “Shareholder Proposals” below.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE DIRECTOR NOMINEES AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS

The matrix below lists the skills and experience that we consider most important for our directors in light of our current business and structure. In addition, biographical information concerning our nominees proposed for election at the annual meeting as Class II directors of the Company, as well as our continuing Class I and Class III directors, including each director’s relevant experience, qualifications, skills and diversity, is included below.

	BOARD OF DIRECTORS										
Board Skill or Qualification:	William P. Foley, II (Chair)	Raymond R. Quirk (CEO)	Douglas K. Ammerman	Willie D. Davis*	Thomas M. Hagerty	Daniel D. Lane	Richard N. Massey	Heather H. Murren	John D. Rood	Peter O. Shea, Jr.	Cary H. Thompson
Board of Directors Experience	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Industry Experience	✓	✓				✓			✓	✓	
CEO/Business Head/Leadership	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Chart Continued ►

Board Skill or Qualification:	BOARD OF DIRECTORS										
	William P. Foley, II (Chair)	Raymond R. Quirk (CEO)	Douglas K. Ammerman	Willie D. Davis*	Thomas M. Hagerty	Daniel D. Lane	Richard N. Massey	Heather H. Murren	John D. Rood	Peter O. Shea, Jr.	Cary H. Thompson
International	✓				✓		✓				✓
Human Capital Management/ Compensation	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓
Finance/Capital Allocation	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Financial Literacy	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Regulatory	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Real Estate	✓	✓				✓			✓	✓	✓
Risk Management	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Corporate Governance	✓	✓			✓		✓			✓	✓
Technology/Systems	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓	✓		
Legal	✓						✓				✓
Marketing/Sales	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Board Tenure	13	2	13	13	13	13	12	2	5	12	13
Age	74	72	67	84	56	84	63	52	64	52	62
Ethnic or Gender Diversity				✓				✓			

* Mr. Davis is serving as Director Emeritus for a three-year term ending at our 2021 annual shareholder meeting. As Director Emeritus, Mr. Davis is invited to attend Board meetings, but does not vote on board matters. He receives an annual cash retainer of \$40,000 and an annual equity retainer with a value of approximately \$107,500, which are equal to 1/2 of the cash and equity retainers received by our other directors, for his service.

Nominee Class II Directors—Term Expiring 2022 (if elected)	
Name	Position
Richard N. Massey	Lead Director Chairman of the Compensation Committee Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane	Member of the Compensation Committee
Cary H. Thompson	Member of the Compensation Committee

Richard N. Massey. Mr. Massey has served as a director of the Company since 2006. Mr. Massey has been a partner of Westrock Capital, LLC, a private investment partnership, since January 2009. Mr. Massey was Chief Strategy Officer and General Counsel of Alltel Corporation from January 2006 to January 2009. From 2000 until 2006, Mr. Massey served as Managing Director of Stephens Inc., a private investment bank, during which time his financial advisory practice focused on software and information technology companies. Mr. Massey also serves as a director of Black Knight, Cannae and FGL Holdings. He is also a director of D&B, which is privately held, a director of the Oxford American Literary Project and Chairman of the Board of the Arkansas Razorback Foundation. Mr. Massey formerly served as a director of Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. (FIS) and Bear State Financial, Inc.

Mr. Massey's qualifications to serve on the FNF board include his experience in corporate finance and investment banking and as a financial, strategic and legal advisor to public and private businesses, as well as his expertise in identifying, negotiating and consummating mergers and acquisitions.

Daniel D. (Ron) Lane. Mr. Lane has served as a director of the Company since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 1989. Since February 1983, Mr. Lane has been a principal, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lane/Kuhn Pacific, Inc., a corporation comprising several community development and home building partnerships, all of which are headquartered in Newport Beach, California. Mr. Lane served as a director of CKE Restaurants, Inc. from 1993 through 2010, and served as a director of FIS from February 2006 to July 2008, and as a director of LPS from July 2008 until March 2009. Mr. Lane is also a member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Southern California.

Mr. Lane's qualifications to serve on the FNF board include his extensive experience in and knowledge of the real estate industry, particularly as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lane/Kuhn Pacific, Inc., his deep knowledge of FNF and our business landscape as a long-time director, and his experience as a member of the boards of directors of other companies.

Cary H. Thompson. Mr. Thompson has served as a director of the Company since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 1992. Mr. Thompson currently is Executive Vice Chairman of Global Corporate and Investment Banking, Bank of America Merrill Lynch, having joined that firm in May 2008. From 1999 to May 2008, Mr. Thompson was Senior Managing Director and Head of West Coast Investment Banking at Bear Stearns & Co., Inc. Mr. Thompson served as a director of FIS from February 2006 to July 2008, as a director of Lender Processing Services, Inc. from July 2008 to March 2009, and on the board of managers of Black Knight Financial Services, LLC from January 2014 until April 2015.

Mr. Thompson's qualifications to serve on the FNF board include his experience in corporate finance and investment banking, his knowledge of financial markets, and his expertise in running a large and complex business organization and negotiating and consummating complicated financial transactions.

Incumbent Class III Directors—Term Expiring 2020

Name	Position
William P. Foley, II	Chairman of the Board
Douglas K. Ammerman	Chairman of the Audit Committee
Thomas M. Hagerty	Director
Peter O. Shea, Jr	Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

William P. Foley, II. Mr. Foley is a founder of FNF, and has served as Chairman of our board of directors since 1984. He served as Chief Executive Officer of FNF until May 2007 and as President of FNF until December 1994. Mr. Foley also serves as Executive Chairman of Black Knight since December 2014, as Co-Executive Chairman of FGL Holdings since April 2016, as Chairman of Cannae Holdings, Inc. (*Cannae*) since July 2017, and as a director of Ceridian HCM Holding Inc. Mr. Foley also serves as Chairman of D&B, which is privately held, and on the boards of directors of The Foley Family Charitable Foundation and the Cummer Museum of Arts and Gardens. He is a founder, trustee and director of The Folded Flag Foundation. Mr. Foley also is Chairman, CEO and President of Foley Family Wines Holdings, Inc., a private holding company for numerous vineyards and wineries, and Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Black Knight Sports and Entertainment LLC, which is the private company that owns the Vegas Golden Knights, a National Hockey League team. Within the past five years, Mr. Foley served as Vice Chairman of FIS and as Chairman of Remy International, Inc. After receiving his B.S. degree in engineering from the United States Military Academy at West Point, Mr. Foley served in the U.S. Air Force, where he attained the rank of captain.

Mr. Foley's qualifications to serve on our Board include more than 30 years as a director and executive officer of FNF, his long and deep knowledge of our business and industry, his strategic vision, his experience as a board member and executive officer of public and private companies in a wide variety of industries, and his strong track record of building and maintaining shareholder value and successfully negotiating and implementing mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Foley provides high value-added services to FNF and has sufficient time to focus on FNF.

Douglas K. Ammerman. Mr. Ammerman has served as a director of the Company since 2005. Mr. Ammerman is a retired partner of KPMG LLP, where he became a partner in 1984. Mr. Ammerman formally retired from KPMG in 2002. He also serves as a director of William Lyon Homes, Stantec Inc. and J. Alexander's Holdings Inc. Mr. Ammerman formerly served on the boards of Remy International, Inc. and El Pollo Loco, Inc.

Mr. Ammerman's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his financial and accounting background and expertise, including his 18 years as a partner with KPMG, and his experience as a director on the boards of other companies.

Thomas M. Hagerty. Mr. Hagerty has served as a director of the Company since 2005, and as a director of predecessors of FNF since 2005. Mr. Hagerty is a Managing Director of THL, which he joined in 1988. Mr. Hagerty currently serves as a director of Black Knight, FleetCor Technologies and Ceridian HCM Holdings, Inc. Mr. Hagerty formerly served on the boards of First Bancorp, MoneyGram International and FIS. Mr. Hagerty is also a director of D&B, which is privately held.

Mr. Hagerty’s qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his managerial and strategic expertise working with large growth-oriented companies as a Managing Director of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., a leading private equity firm, and his experience in enhancing value at such companies, along with his expertise in corporate finance.

Peter O. Shea, Jr. Mr. Shea has served as a director of the Company since April 2006. Mr. Shea is the President and Chief Executive Officer of J.F. Shea Co., Inc., a private company with operations in home building, commercial property development and management and heavy civil construction. Prior to his service as President and Chief Executive Officer, he served as Chief Operating Officer of J.F. Shea Co., Inc.

Mr. Shea’s qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his experience in managing multiple and diverse operating companies and his knowledge of the real estate industry, particularly as President and Chief Executive Officer of J.F. Shea Co., Inc.

Incumbent Class I Directors—Term Expiring 2021	
Name	Position
Raymond R. Quirk	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Heather H. Murren	Member of the Audit Committee
John D. Rood	Member of the Audit Committee

Raymond R. Quirk. Mr. Quirk has served as Chief Executive Officer of FNF since December 2013 and as a director of FNF since February 2017. Previously, he had served as the President of FNF since April 2008. Mr. Quirk served as Co-President since May 2007 and Co-Chief Operating Officer of FNF from October 2006 until May 2007. Since joining FNF in 1985, Mr. Quirk has served in numerous executive and management positions, including Executive Vice President, Division Manager and Regional Manager, with responsibilities for managing direct and agency operations nationally. Mr. Quirk also serves on the board of directors of J. Alexander’s Holdings, Inc.

Mr. Quirk’s qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his more than 30 years of experience with FNF, his deep knowledge of our business and industry and his strong leadership abilities.

Heather H. Murren. Ms. Murren is a private investor. She retired as a Managing Director and group head of Global Securities and Economics at Merrill Lynch in 2002 after more than a decade on Wall Street. In 2002, Ms. Murren founded the nonprofit Nevada Cancer Institute, a cancer research and treatment center, where she served as Chairman and CEO and then as a board member until the institute merged into Roseman University in 2013. She was appointed by Congress to serve on the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission from 2009 to 2011. The Commission’s findings, “The Financial Crisis Inquiry Report” was listed on the New York Times bestseller list. Ms. Murren was appointed and served as a Commissioner on the White House Commission on Enhancing National Cybersecurity in 2016. The Commission’s findings were presented to President Obama in December 2016. She serves on the Board of Trustees of the Johns Hopkins University and the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory and formerly served on the board of Mannkind Corporation.

Ms. Murren's qualifications include her strong background in finance gained during her time at Merrill Lynch, her leadership experience as a group leader at a leading Wall Street firm and as founder, Chair and CEO at various non-profits, and her regulatory and cyber-security knowledge from serving on the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission and Commission on Enhancing National Cybersecurity.

John D. Rood. Mr. Rood has served on our board of directors since May 2013. Mr. Rood is the founder and Chairman of The Vestcor Companies, a real estate firm with more than 30 years of experience in multifamily development and investment. Mr. Rood also serves on the board of directors of Black Knight. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Rood served as the US Ambassador to the Commonwealth of the Bahamas. Mr. Rood previously served on the board of Alico, Inc., and currently serves on several private boards. He was appointed by Governor Jeb Bush to serve on the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission where he served until 2004. He was appointed by Governor Charlie Crist to the Florida Board of Governors, which oversees the State of Florida University System, where he served until 2013. Mr. Rood was appointed by Mayor Lenny Curry to the JAXPORT Board of Directors, where he served from October 2015 to July 2016. Governor Rick Scott appointed Mr. Rood to the Florida Prepaid College Board in July 2016, where Mr. Rood serves as Chairman of the Board, and to the Enterprise Florida and Space Coast Florida board of directors in September 2016. Mr. Rood has participated in numerous risk and audit training programs with KPMG, Booz Allen and the National Association of Corporate Directors, or NACD. He is a Board Leadership Fellow with NACD.

Mr. Rood's qualifications to serve on the FNF board of directors include his experience in the real estate industry, his leadership experience as a United States Ambassador, his financial literacy, his understanding of cyber-security risks gained through director training programs, and his experience as a director on boards of both public and private companies.

PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The certificate of incorporation and the bylaws of the Company provide that our board shall consist of at least one and no more than fourteen directors. Our directors are divided into three classes. The board determines the number of directors within these limits. The term of office of only one class of directors expires in each year. The directors elected at this annual meeting will hold office for their respective terms or until their successors are elected and qualified. Excluding Mr. Davis, who does not vote on board matters, the current number of directors is ten. The board believes that each of the nominees will stand for election and will serve if elected as a director.

At this annual meeting, the persons listed below have been nominated to stand for election to the board as Class II directors for a three-year term expiring in 2022.

**Richard N. Massey
Danial D. (Ron) Lane
Cary H. Thompson**

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE LISTED NOMINEES.

CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The executive officers of the Company are set forth in the table below, together with biographical information, except for Mr. Quirk, whose biographical information is included in this proxy statement under the section titled “Certain Information about our Directors—Information About the Director Nominees and Continuing Directors.”

Name	Position	Age
Raymond R. Quirk	Chief Executive Officer	72
Michael J. Nolan	President	59
Roger Jewkes	Chief Operating Officer	60
Brent B. Bickett	Executive Vice President – Corporate Strategy	54
Anthony J. Park	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	52
Peter T. Sadowski	Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer	64
Michael L. Gravelle	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	57

Michael J. Nolan. Mr. Nolan has served as President of the Company since January 2016. He served as the Co-Chief Operating Officer from September 2015 until January 2016. Additionally, he has served as President of Eastern Operations for Fidelity National Title Group since January 2013 and Executive Vice President Division Manager since May 2010. Previously, Mr. Nolan served as Regional Manager from 2003 through 2010 and state and branch manager positions from 1998 2003. Since joining company in 1983, Mr. Nolan has served in numerous executive and management positions, including President, Executive Vice President, Division Manager and Regional Manager, with responsibilities for managing direct and agency operations for the Midwest and East coast. Also, Mr. Nolan has overall responsibility for the Company’s operations in Canada as well as IPX, Fidelity’s 1031 exchange company, and FRS, Fidelity’s relocation company.

Roger Jewkes. Mr. Jewkes has served as Chief Operating Officer of FNF since January 2016, and served as Co-Chief Operating Officer from September 2015 to January 2016. Previously, he served as an Executive Vice President of FNF and was appointed to that position in 2001. Since joining FNF through an acquisition in 1987, Mr. Jewkes has served in several executive and operational management positions including President of Western Operations, Executive Vice President, Division Manager and Regional Manager, with responsibilities for managing a significant number of direct operations along with some ancillary companies held by FNF.

Brent B. Bickett. Mr. Bickett has served as Executive Vice President of Corporate Strategy of FNF since January 2016. Mr. Bickett served as President of FNF from December 2013 until January 2016. Mr. Bickett has primary responsibility for managing FNF’s merger and acquisition activities and strategic initiatives. Mr. Bickett joined FNF in 1999 and served as Executive Vice President, Corporate Finance, of FNF from 2003 to 2013. Mr. Bickett has also served as President of Cannae since April 2017.

Anthony J. Park. Mr. Park has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FNF since October 2005. Prior to being appointed CFO of the Company, Mr. Park served as Controller and Assistant Controller of FNF from 1991 to 2000 and served as the Chief Accounting Officer of FNF from 2000 to 2005.

Peter T. Sadowski. Mr. Sadowski has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of FNF since 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Sadowski served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel of FNF since 1999. Mr. Sadowski has also served as Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer of Cannae since April 2017. Mr. Sadowski also is a member of the Southern Nevada Sporting Event Committee for the State of Nevada.

Michael L. Gravelle. Mr. Gravelle has served as the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of FNF since January 2010 and served in the capacity of Executive Vice President, Legal since May 2006 and Corporate Secretary since April 2008. Mr. Gravelle joined FNF in 2003, serving as Senior Vice President. Mr. Gravelle joined a subsidiary of FNF in 1993, where he served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary beginning in 1996 and as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary beginning in 2000. Mr. Gravelle has also served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Black Knight, Inc. and its predecessors since January 2014, where he also served as Corporate Secretary from January 2014 until May 2018. Mr. Gravelle has also served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Cannae Holdings, Inc., since April 2017. He served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporation Secretary of Remy from February 2013 until March 2015.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis of compensation programs should be read with the compensation tables and related disclosures that follow. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are based on our current plans and expectations regarding future compensation programs. Compensation programs that we adopt in the future may differ materially from the programs summarized in this discussion. The following discussion may also contain statements regarding corporate performance targets and goals. These targets and goals are disclosed in the limited context of our compensation programs and should not be understood to be statements of management's expectations or estimates of results or other guidance. We specifically caution investors not to apply these statements to other contexts.

In this compensation discussion and analysis, we provide an overview of our approach to compensating our named executive officers in 2018, including the objectives of our compensation programs and the principles upon which our compensation programs and decisions are based. Our named executive officers, and their titles, in 2018 were:

- Raymond R. Quirk, our Chief Executive Officer;
- Michael J. Nolan, our President;
- Brent B. Bickett, our Executive Vice President, Corporate Strategy;
- Roger S. Jewkes, our Chief Operating Officer; and
- Anthony J. Park, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

FNF has a long history of delivering consistent, industry-leading operating results and investment returns to our shareholders. 2018 was no exception as we generated \$7.7 billion of total revenue (excluding \$95 million of noncash, valuation losses on investment securities) and \$635 million of net earnings from continuing operations, despite a decline in overall U.S. residential mortgage originations.


As reflected in the charts below, over the previous five years, we have delivered consistently strong revenue and earnings.

	Year ended December 31,				
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total Revenue (in millions)	\$5,647	\$6,664	\$7,257	\$7,663	\$7,689
Net Earnings from Continuing Operations (in millions)	\$249	\$501	\$622	\$639	\$635

TOTAL REVENUE



NET EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS



Our consistent operating results have translated to strong returns for our shareholders. During the three-year period, from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018, we delivered a total return to our shareholders of approximately 41%, compared to a total return on the S&P 500 of approximately 30% during the same period. This includes a return of approximately \$845 million during this three-year period to our shareholders in the form of cash dividends. Total shareholder return is based on stock price changes as adjusted to account for corporate actions.

PAY FOR PERFORMANCE

The primary goal of our executive compensation programs in 2018 was to drive continued growth and successful execution of our strategic business objectives. We believe our programs achieve this goal by:

- Tying material portions of our named executive officers' compensation to the performance of our core title operations;
- Structuring our performance-based programs to focus our named executive officers on attaining pre-established, objectively-determinable key performance goals that are aligned with and support our key strategic business objectives in our various operations, which, in turn, are aimed at growing long-term value for our shareholders;
- Recognizing our executives' leadership abilities, scope of responsibilities, experience, effectiveness, and individual performance achievements; and
- Attracting, motivating, and retaining a highly qualified and effective management team that can deliver superior performance and build shareholder value over the long-term.

As in past years, there was a direct correlation between our named executive officers' pay and our performance in 2018. Here are a few highlights:

- We exceeded both our adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin goals as set by our compensation committee under our annual incentive plan. Consistent with this strong performance, our named executive officers earned an annual incentive equal to 197.5% of their respective target annual incentive opportunities. See the "FNF Annual Incentive Performance Measures and Results" section below.
- We exceeded the quarterly adjusted pre-tax title margin goals set by our compensation committee as performance criteria for our 2017 restricted stock awards. As a result, we expect these awards to fully vest, subject to each executive's continued employment with us to satisfy the time based vesting requirements for those awards.

Note that the financial measures used as performance targets for our named executive officers described in this discussion are non-GAAP measures and differ from the comparable GAAP measures reported in our financial statements. The measures are adjusted to exclude the impact of certain non-recurring and other items. We explain how we calculate these measures in the "Analysis of Compensation Components" section below.

SHAREHOLDER VOTE ON 2016 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

At our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, we held a non-binding advisory vote, also called a “say on pay” vote, on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the 2018 proxy statement. A majority of our shareholders approved our “say on pay” proposal, with approximately 96% of the votes cast in favor of the proposal and approximately 4% of the votes cast against the proposal. The compensation committee considered these results when evaluating our executive compensation programs.

SHAREHOLDER OUTREACH AND CHANGES TO OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAMS IN 2017

Our compensation committee is committed to listening and responding to the views of our shareholders in creating and tailoring our executive compensation programs. Following the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders and the 2017 “say on pay” shareholder vote, our President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer met with our investors in break-out sessions at investor conferences, as well as in independent one-on-one investor meetings, to discuss our business and stock price performance, as well as discuss and receive feedback on our compensation programs. In this regard, we met with investors at more than 10 investor conferences and numerous one-on-one meetings. The investors with whom we met in 2018 represented 12 of our top 20 shareholders, who collectively owned more than 35% of our shares as of December 31, 2018. No significant issues were raised by our shareholders relating to our compensation practices during 2018.

We believe that we have been highly responsive to our shareholders’ concerns, and have created and continued compensation programs that achieved our strategic corporate objectives, focused our executives on achieving superior operating results and shareholder returns, balanced short-term and long-term incentives, and maintained a strong correlation between pay and performance.

IMPROVEMENTS TO OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

Over the last several years, we have made a number of improvements to our compensation programs in response to feedback received during our investor outreach efforts and the analysis of our compensation programs by proxy advisory firms. Following are highlights of the key changes, demonstrating the responsiveness of our compensation committee:

Areas of Improvement	Improvements
Pay Programs Have Been Simplified	<p>We have greatly simplified our compensation programs over the last several years. In the past, our compensation programs have been complicated and consisted of a variety of programs that reflected our complicated business structure consisting of our core title businesses, as well as the non-core businesses that are now part of Black Knight and Cannae, which are now independent publicly-traded companies. In 2018, our named executive officers earned base salary, an annual performance-based cash incentive, and long-term equity awards and standard employee benefits. In 2018, our long-term equity awards continued to consist only of restricted stock awards, all of which had performance and time-based vesting conditions. No named executive officer received equity awards that vested solely upon passage of time in 2018, and no named executive officer received special or one-off equity awards in addition to the 2018 annual equity award.</p>
Annual Incentive Plan Performance Goals are Rigorously Set, Despite Volatile and Unpredictable Economic Environment	<p>The adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin performance targets under our 2018 annual incentive plan were approximately 5.7% lower and 17.3% lower than the targets under our 2017 plan, respectively. Our annual incentive plan targets correlate with our annual strategic financial plans, which are based on our forecasted mortgage originations for the year and the relative mix of purchase versus refinance originations. These annual incentive plan measures also have a significant impact on our long-term stock price. We determine our annual strategic financial plan based on a combination of forecasts provided by the Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA) and Fannie Mae, anticipated changes in interest rates, which can have a significant impact on title revenues and margins, as well as recent and expected industry and company trends. We prepare a base plan as well as upside and downside scenarios, which, taken together, form the strategic financial plan and the basis of the performance targets. When we set our 2018 performance targets in March 2018, our assumptions included a decline in refinance volumes of 23%, a 3% decrease in the residential purchase market, and a 7% decline in the national commercial market. In light of these assumptions, the adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin performance targets were rigorous and intended to incentivize our executives to prudently strive to maximize margin and grow market share to outperform the overall market. Our 2018 results exceeded target thresholds due to strong performance in a challenging environment and effective cost management by our executives, including a 2% increase in direct title insurance premiums which resulted from an increase in the average fee per file, partially offset by a decrease in closed order volumes driven by the anticipated rising interest rate environment in late 2018 resulting in a decline in the residential refinance and purchase markets. Remittances for agency title insurance premiums were relatively flat despite the softening of the residential markets. Our executives' performance directly affected each of these factors.</p>

Chart Continued ►

Areas of Improvement	Improvements
Long-term Performance Goals are Rigorously Set, Despite Volatile and Unpredictable Economic Environment	The adjusted pre-tax title margin performance target applicable to our restricted stock awards granted in 2018 was consistent with the target we used in our 2017 awards. We considered various alternative measures but selected adjusted pre-tax title margin because of its strong correlation with our annual strategic financial plan, its importance to investors and impact on our long-term stock price, and its effectiveness in measuring the performance of our executives as it is a measure they can directly affect. Adjusted pre-tax title margin measures our achievements in operating efficiency, profitability and capital management. When we set our adjusted pre-tax title margin performance target in October 2018, our assumptions were based upon the MBA's forecast of a 1% decline in residential mortgage originations in 2019 and an increase of 20 basis points in the 30-year fixed mortgage interest rate, which in particular can have a significant impact on our ability to achieve strong title margin. Our assumptions were also based upon the Urban Land Institute's forecast of a softer commercial real estate market in 2019 and the combination of a limited housing supply, tight credit markets, increasing home prices and political uncertainty. In light of these assumptions, the adjusted pre-tax title margin performance target is very rigorous and intended to drive our executives to maximize profits in a market expected to decline from prior year levels.

GOVERNANCE AND COMPENSATION BEST PRACTICES

We periodically review our compensation programs and make adjustments that are believed to be in the best interests of our company and our shareholders. As part of this process, we review compensation trends and consider current best practices, and make changes in our compensation programs when we deem it appropriate, all with the goal of continually improving our approach to executive compensation.

Some of the best practices adopted by our compensation committee or full board of directors include the following:

Things We Do		Things We Don't Do	
✓	Permit shareholder action by written consent	✗	Have supermajority voting provisions in our Certificate of Incorporation
✓	Separate the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	✗	Provide tax gross-ups or reimbursement of taxes
✓	An independent lead director to help manage the affairs of our Board	✗	Have liberal change in control definitions
✓	Deliver total compensation predominantly through variable pay	✗	Include modified single trigger severance provisions—which provide severance upon a voluntary termination of employment following a change in control—in our executive agreements
✓	Allow “proxy access”	✗	Allow hedging and pledging transactions involving our securities

Chart Continued ►

Things We Do		Things We Don't Do	
✓	Have majority voting in uncontested director elections	×	Have multi-year guarantees for salary increases, non performance-based bonuses or guaranteed equity compensation in our executive employment agreements
✓	Maintain robust stock ownership requirements		
✓	Maintain a clawback policy for incentive-based compensation		
✓	Continue to have a high ratio of performance-based compensation to total compensation, and a low ratio for fixed benefits/perquisites (non performance-based compensation), even after the repeal of Section 162(m)		
✓	Undertake an annual review of compensation risk		
✓	Limit perquisites		
✓	Have performance-based vesting provision in restricted stock grants to our officers, including our named executive officers		
✓	Require that any dividends or dividend equivalents on restricted stock and other awards that are subject to performance-based vesting conditions are subject to the same underlying vesting requirements applicable to the awards — that is, no payment of dividends or dividend equivalents are made unless and until the award vests		
✓	Have transparent executive compensation disclosures in our annual proxy statements		
✓	Use a thorough methodology for comparing our executive compensation to market practices		
✓	A policy that annual grants of restricted stock will utilize a vesting schedule of not less than three-years		
✓	Retain an independent compensation consultant that reports solely to our compensation committee, and that does not provide our compensation committee services other than executive compensation consulting		
✓	Cap payouts on incentive awards		
✓	Use non-discretionary, pre-established, objectively-determinable performance goals for our incentive awards		

COMPONENTS OF TOTAL COMPENSATION AND PAY MIX


We compensate our executive officers primarily through a mix of base salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity-based incentives. We also provide our executive officers with the same retirement and employee benefit plans that are offered to our other employees, as well as limited other benefits, although these items are not significant components of our compensation programs. The following table provides information regarding the elements of compensation provided to our named executive officers in 2018:

Category of Compensation	Type of Compensation	Purpose of the Compensation
Fixed Cash Compensation	Salary	Salary provides a level of assured, regularly paid, cash compensation that is competitive and helps attract and retain key employees.
Short-term Performance-based Cash Incentives	Annual Cash Incentive Tied to Financial Metrics	Cash incentives under our annual incentive plan are designed to motivate our employees to work towards achieving our key annual adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin goals.
Long-term Equity Incentives	Performance-based Restricted Stock Tied to Financial Metrics	Performance-based restricted stock helps to tie our named executive officers' long-term financial interests to our adjusted pre-tax title margin and to the long-term financial interests of our shareholders, as well as to retain key executives through a three-year vesting period and maintain a market competitive position for total compensation.
Benefits & Other	ESPP, 401(k) Plan, health insurance and other benefits	Our named executive officers' benefits generally mirror our company-wide employee benefit programs. For security reasons and to make travel more efficient and productive for our named executive officers, they are eligible to travel on our corporate aircraft.

ALLOCATION OF TOTAL COMPENSATION FOR 2017

The following chart and table show the average allocation of 2018 Total Compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table among the components of our compensation programs:

NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS'
2018 Compensation Mix



2018 COMPENSATION MIX

	Salary	Annual Cash Incentives	Performance-based Restricted Stock	Benefits and Other	Total Compensation	Performance-based Compensation
Raymond R. Quirk	11.0%	33.7%	51.5%	3.8%	100%	85.2%
Anthony J. Park	17.7%	36.8%	39.4%	6.1%	100%	76.2%
Michael J. Nolan	14.9%	38.2%	42.6%	4.3%	100%	80.8%
Brent B. Bickett	11.3%	34.6%	44.8%	9.3%	100%	79.4%
Roger S. Jewkes	15.3%	39.3%	38.7%	6.7%	100%	78.0%

As illustrated above, a significant portion of each named executive officer's total compensation is based on performance-based cash and equity incentives that are tied to our financial performance, stock and equity price. Combined, performance-based forms of compensation comprised between 76.2% and 85.2% of our named executive officers' total compensation in 2018.

Our compensation committee believes this emphasis on performance-based incentive compensation is an effective way to use compensation to help us achieve our business objectives while directly aligning our executive officers' interests with the interests of our shareholders.

ANALYSIS OF COMPENSATION COMPONENTS

BASE SALARY

Our compensation committee typically reviews salary levels annually as part of our performance review process, as well as in the event of promotions or other changes in our named executive officers' positions or responsibilities. When establishing base salary levels, our compensation committee considers the peer compensation data provided by its external independent compensation consultant, Mercer, as well as a number of qualitative factors, including each named executive officer's experience, knowledge, skills, level of responsibility and performance. With respect to Mr. Bickett, in 2018 our compensation committee considered that he serves as President of Cannae and reduced his FNF base salary by \$145,000 in recognition of his dual employee status. In 2018, all of our other named executive officers' salaries remained unchanged from 2017.

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE-BASED CASH INCENTIVES

We award annual cash incentives based upon the achievement of pre-defined business and financial objectives relating to our core operations, which are specified in the first quarter of the year. Annual incentives play an important role in our approach to total compensation, as they motivate participants to achieve key fiscal year objectives by conditioning the payment of incentives on the achievement of defined, objectively determinable financial performance goals.

In the first quarter of 2018, our compensation committee approved our fiscal year business performance objectives and a target incentive opportunity for each participant, as well as the potential incentive opportunity range for maximum and threshold performance. No annual incentive payments are payable to a named executive officer if the pre-established, minimum performance levels are not met, and payments are capped at a maximum performance payout level. The financial performance results are derived from our annual financial statements (as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC), which are subject to an audit by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP. However, as discussed below, we use financial measures as performance targets for our named executive officers that differ from the comparable GAAP measures reported in our financial statements. The incentive award target opportunities are expressed as a percentage of the individual's base salary. In 2018, our compensation committee approved an increase of five percent to each of our named executive officer's incentive award target opportunity from their 2017 levels so that their target opportunities were as follows: Mr. Quirk 155%, Mr. Nolan 130%, Mr. Jewkes 130%, Mr. Park 105% and Mr. Bickett 155%. Our compensation committee approved these increases to provide our executives with additional cash compensation in recognition of their strong historical performance, but tied the increase to continued performance that will benefit our shareholders.

The amount of the annual incentives actually paid depends on the level of achievement of the pre-established goals as follows:

- If threshold performance is not achieved, no incentive will be paid.
- If threshold performance is achieved, the incentive payout will equal 50% of the executive's target incentive opportunity.
- If target performance is achieved, the incentive payout will equal 100% of the executive's target incentive opportunity.
- If maximum performance is achieved, the incentive payout will equal 200% of the executive's target incentive opportunity.
- Between these levels, the payout is interpolated.

An important tenet of our pay-for-performance philosophy is to utilize our compensation programs to motivate our executives to achieve performance levels that reach beyond what is expected of us as a company. The performance targets for the FNF incentive plan are approved by our compensation committee and are based on discussions between management and our compensation committee. Target performance levels are intended to be difficult to achieve, but not unrealistic. Maximum performance levels are established to limit short-term incentive awards so as to avoid excessive compensation while encouraging executives to reach for performance beyond the target levels.

In setting 2018 performance targets under our annual incentive plans, our compensation committee considered the following factors, which are discussed in more detail below:

- Our 2018 business plan, including our underlying assumptions that refinance volumes would decline by 23% and the residential purchase market would decrease by 3% following consideration of MBA and Fannie Mae forecasts, anticipated rising interest rates, rising home prices making housing less affordable and significantly depressing the residential and refinance markets, and recent and expected industry and company trends, and our projection that the national commercial market would decline by 7% based upon forecasts by the Urban Land Institute;
- 2018 performance targets as compared to 2017 performance targets and 2017 actual performance;
- Alignment of the 2018 performance targets with the investment community’s published projections for us and our publicly-traded title company competitors; and
- The effect that achieving the performance targets would have on our growth and margins.

FNF Annual Incentive Performance Measures and Results. The 2018 performance goals under the FNF incentive plan were based on adjusted revenue and adjusted pre-tax margin relating to our title segment. We believe that these performance measures are among the most important measures of the financial performance of our business, and they can have a significant impact on long-term stock price and the investing community’s expectations. When combined with the strong focus on long-term shareholder return created by our equity-based incentives and our named executive officers’ significant stock ownership, these two annual performance measures provide a degree of checks and balances, requiring our named executive officers to consider both short-term and long-term performance of our businesses and investments. The annual incentive performance targets are synchronized with shareholder expectations, desired increase in our stock price, our annual budget, our long-term financial plan, and our board of directors’ expectations. Further, both measures are measures that executives can directly affect.

In the following table, we explain how we calculate the performance measures and why we use them.

Performance Measure	How Calculated	Reason for Use
Adjusted Title Revenue	Adjusted title revenue is based on GAAP revenue from our title segment as reported in our annual financial statements, excluding realized gains and losses.	Adjusted title revenue is an important measure of our growth, our ability to satisfy and retain our clients, gain new clients and the effectiveness of our services and solutions. Adjusted title revenue is widely followed by investors.

Chart Continued ►

Performance Measure	How Calculated	Reason for Use
Adjusted Pre-Tax Title Margin	Adjusted pre-tax title margin is determined by dividing the earnings before income taxes and non controlling interests from our title segment, excluding realized gains and losses, purchase accounting amortization and other unusual items, by total revenues of the title segment excluding realized gains and losses.	We selected adjusted pre-tax title margin as a measure for the short-term incentives because it is a financial measure that is significantly influenced by the performance of our executives, promotes a focus on operational efficiency and cost management, aligns the executives' short-term incentive opportunity with one of our key corporate growth objectives and is commonly used within the title industry. We believe maintaining strong margins is particularly important in a declining market.

The title insurance business is directly impacted by managements' effectiveness in executing on our business strategy, and macro-economic factors such as mortgage interest rates, credit availability, job markets, economic growth, and changing demographics. Changes to mortgage interest rates, in particular, can have a significant impact on our title revenues and title margin. Due to the year-to-year changes in these key economic factors that are outside of our control, we do not think comparisons of financial and business goals and performance from one-year to another are meaningful indicators of the rigor of our performance goals or managements' performance in a given year. Instead, we think our performance goals and managements' performance relative to those goals should be assessed in light of the economic environment within which the goals were established and management operated.

In setting the threshold, target and maximum goals relating to the performance measures under the FNF incentive plan, the committee considered management's expectations for 2018 with respect to forecasted originations, interest rates and the relative mix of purchase versus refinance originations. These expectations are based on forecasted declines in the refinance, residential purchase and national commercial market described above based upon projections provided by the MBA and Fannie Mae, anticipated rising interest rates and rising home prices making housing less affordable and significantly depressing the residential and refinance markets, and recent and expected industry and company trends as reflected in our 2018 strategic financial plan. We prepare a base plan as well as upside and downside scenarios, which, taken together, form the strategic financial plan and the basis of the performance measure targets. To establish threshold and maximum goals, percentage adjustments were applied to the target goals.

The pre-tax title margin threshold and maximum goals were set at 2.5% below and 2.5% above the target, respectively, and title revenue threshold and maximum goals were set at 7.5% below and 7.5% above the target, respectively. Target performance levels are intended to be difficult to achieve, but not unrealistic. Maximum performance levels are established to limit short-term incentive awards so as to avoid excessive compensation while encouraging executives to reach for performance beyond the target levels. All of the goals are subject to review and approval by our compensation committee.

Our 2018 results exceeded target thresholds due to strong performance in a challenging environment and effective cost management by our executives, including a 2% increase in direct title insurance premiums which resulted from an increase in the average fee per file, partially offset by a decrease in

closed order volumes driven by the anticipated rising interest rate environment in late 2018 resulting in a decline in the residential refinance and purchase markets. Remittances for agency title insurance premiums were relatively flat despite the softening of the residential markets. Our executives' performance directly affected each of these factors.

Set forth below are the 2018 weightings of the threshold, target and maximum performance levels, and 2018 performance results under our annual incentive plan. Dollar amounts are in millions.

Performance Metric	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Results
Adjusted Revenue <i>Title Segment</i>	25%	\$6,299	\$6,810	\$7,320	\$7,270
Adjusted Pre-tax Margin <i>Title Segment</i>	75%	9.5%	12%	14.5%	14.8%

The table below presents a reconciliation of our GAAP total revenue and pre-tax earnings to our adjusted title revenue and adjusted pre-tax title margin by operating segment of the Company. Dollar amounts are in millions.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2018	Consolidated FNF	Title	Corporate and Other
Total revenue	\$7,594	\$7,160	\$434
Pre-tax earnings (loss)	\$750	\$875	(125)
<i>Non-GAAP adjustments before taxes</i>			
<i>Realized (gains) and losses, net</i>	109	110	(1)
<i>Purchase price amortization</i>	109	87	22
<i>Transaction costs</i>	17	–	17
<i>Sales tax contingency</i>	6	6	–
<i>Other adjustments</i>	3	1	2
<i>Total non-GAAP adjustments before taxes</i>	\$244	\$204	\$40
Adjusted revenue	\$7,703	\$7,270	\$433
Adjusted pre-tax earnings (loss)	\$994	\$1,079	(85)
Adjusted pre-tax margin	12.9%	14.8%	–%

The table below shows each named executive officer's target percentage under our annual incentive plan, the calculation of their 2018 incentive awards based on the 2018 performance multiplier from the results shown in the tables above, and the amounts earned under the annual incentive plans.

Name	2018 Base Salary ⁽¹⁾	2018 Annual Incentive Target (%)	2018 Annual Incentive Target (\$) ⁽¹⁾	2018 Performance Multiplier	2018 Total Incentive Earned ⁽¹⁾
Raymond R. Quirk	\$1,000,000	155%	\$1,550,000	197.5%	\$3,061,902
Anthony J. Park	\$525,000	105%	\$551,250	197.5%	\$1,088,950
Michael J. Nolan	\$630,000	130%	\$819,000	197.5%	\$1,617,869
Roger S. Jewkes	\$630,000	130%	\$819,000	197.5%	\$1,617,869
Brent B. Bickett	\$550,500	155%	\$853,275	197.5%	\$1,468,077

1. In light of Mr. Bickett's service as President of Cannae, the compensation committee reduced his 2018 bonus by \$217,500.

LONG-TERM EQUITY INCENTIVES

On October 26, 2018, we granted performance-based restricted stock to each of our named executive officers.

We do not attempt to time the granting of awards to any internal or external events. Our general practice has been for our compensation committee to grant equity awards during the fourth quarter of each year following the release of our financial results for the third quarter. We also may grant awards in connection with significant new hires, promotions or changes in duties.

Our compensation committee's determinations are not formulaic; rather, in the context of competitive market compensation data and our stated pay philosophy, our compensation committee determines the share amounts on a subjective basis in its discretion and may differ among individual executive officers in any given year. Following is a brief discussion regarding the awards made in 2018.

Performance-based Restricted Stock. In 2018, the proportion of the FNF equity awards consisting of performance-based restricted stock remained at 100% and we did not grant stock options to our executive officers.

The restricted stock awards vest over three years, provided we achieve pre-tax title margin in our title segment of 8.5% in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2018. We considered various alternative measures but we again selected adjusted pre-tax title margin because of its strong correlation with our annual strategic financial plan, its importance to investors and impact on our long-term stock price, and its effectiveness in measuring the performance of our executives as it is a measure they can directly affect. Adjusted pre-tax title margin measures our achievements in operating efficiency, profitability and capital management. It is also a key measure used by investors and has a significant impact on long-term stock price.

We kept the adjusted pre-tax margin performance metric for these awards constant at 8.5% compared to our 2017 metric. When we set our adjusted pre-tax title margin performance target in October 2018, our assumptions were based upon the MBA's forecast of a 1% decline in residential mortgage originations in 2019 and an increase of 20 basis points in the 30-year fixed mortgage interest rate, which, in particular, can have a significant impact on our title margins. Our assumptions were also based upon the Urban Land Institute's forecast of a softer commercial

real estate market in 2019 and the combination of a limited housing supply, tight credit markets, increasing home prices and political uncertainty. Based on these anticipated challenges for the performance period, the committee determined that maintaining consistent adjusted pre-tax title margin compared to 2018 would challenge our executives to prudently strive for growth and continue to manage costs in an extremely efficient manner, reflecting superior performance compared to our title competitors. Although we considered using a longer performance period for these awards, we determined that achievement of the criteria in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2018, which is the performance period we have historically used with respect to our performance-based equity awards, was the appropriate performance period because of the difficulty in predicting future performance of the mortgage market, particularly for a period of more than one-year, because it is largely driven by interest rates and other economic forces outside of our control, and because of the seasonality inherent in the title business, with the first quarter typically much weaker than the remaining quarters due to weather conditions and holidays impacting opened order activity in November and December resulting in fewer closings in the first quarter.

Adjusted pre-tax title margin is determined by dividing the earnings before income taxes and non-controlling interests from our title segment, excluding realized gains and losses, purchase accounting amortization and other unusual items, by total revenues of the title segment excluding realized gains and losses.

With respect to all restricted stock awards, credit is provided for dividends paid on unvested shares, but payment of those dividends is subject to the same vesting requirements as the underlying shares—in other words, if the underlying shares do not vest, the dividends are forfeited.

BENEFIT PLANS

Our named executive officers generally participate in the same compensation programs as our other executives and employees. All employees in the United States, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in our 401(k) plan and our employee stock purchase plan, or ESPP. In addition, our named executive officers are eligible to participate in broad based health and welfare plans. We do not offer pensions or supplemental executive retirement plans for our named executive officers.

401(k) Plan. We sponsor a defined contribution savings plan that is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The plan contains a cash or deferred arrangement under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Participating employees may contribute up to 40% of their eligible compensation, but not more than statutory limits, which were generally \$18,500 in 2018. Vesting in matching contributions, if any, occurs proportionally each year over three years based on continued employment with us.

Deferred Compensation Plan. We provide our named executive officers, as well as other key employees, with the opportunity to defer receipt of their compensation under a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. None of our named executive officers elected to defer 2018 compensation into the plan. A description of the plan and information regarding our named executive officers' interests under the plan can be found in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and accompanying narrative.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. We maintain an *ESPP* through which our executives and employees can purchase shares of our common stock through payroll deductions and through matching employer contributions. At the end of each calendar quarter, we make a matching contribution to the account of each participant who has been continuously employed by us or a participating subsidiary for the last four calendar quarters. For officers, including our named executive officers, matching contributions are equal to 1/2 of the amount contributed during the quarter that is one-year earlier than the quarter in which the matching contribution was made. The matching contributions, together with the employee deferrals, are used to purchase shares of our common stock on the open market. For information regarding the matching contributions made to our named executive officers in 2018 see “—Summary Compensation Table.”

Health and Welfare Benefits. We sponsor various broad-based health and welfare benefit plans for our employees. Certain executives, including our named executive officers, are provided with additional life insurance. The taxable portion of the premiums on this additional life insurance is reflected in the “Summary Compensation Table” under the column “All Other Compensation” and related footnote.

Other Benefits. We continue to provide a few additional benefits to our executives. In general, the additional benefits provided are intended to help our named executive officers be more productive and efficient and to protect us and our executives from certain business risks and potential threats. For example, in 2018, certain of our named executive officers received personal use of the corporate aircraft. Our compensation committee regularly reviews the additional benefits provided to our executive officers and believes they are minimal. Further detail regarding other benefits in 2018 can be found in the “Summary Compensation Table” under the column “All Other Compensation” and related footnote.

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS AND POST-TERMINATION COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers. These agreements provide us and the executives with certain rights and obligations following a termination of employment, and in some instances, following a change in control. We believe these agreements are necessary to protect our legitimate business interests, as well as to protect the executives in the event of certain termination events. For a discussion of the material terms of the agreements, see the narrative following “—Grants of Plan-Based Awards” and “—Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control,” below.

ROLE OF COMPENSATION COMMITTEE, COMPENSATION CONSULTANT AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Our compensation committee is responsible for reviewing, approving and monitoring all compensation programs for our named executive officers. Our compensation committee is also responsible for administering our annual incentive plan and our omnibus incentive plan. During 2018, our compensation committee engaged Mercer, an independent compensation consultant, to conduct an annual review of our compensation programs for our named executive officers and other key executives and our board of directors. Mercer was selected, and its fees and terms of engagement were approved, by our compensation committee. Mercer reported directly to

the compensation committee, and received compensation only for services related to executive compensation issues. During 2018, the Company also engaged Guy Carpenter, another operating subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan, to provide surety and casualty insurance services. FNF paid Guy Carpenter fees of \$1,944,030 in 2018. In April 2019, the compensation committee reviewed the independence of Mercer in accordance with the rules of the New York Stock Exchange regarding the independence of consultants to the compensation committee, and affirmed the consultant's independence and that no conflicts of interest existed.

The compensation consultant provided our compensation committee with relevant market data on compensation, including annual salary, annual incentives, long-term incentives, other benefits, total compensation and pay mix, and alternatives to consider when making compensation decisions. Mercer also assists our compensation committee in its annual review of a compensation risk assessment.

Our Chairman, Mr. Foley, participated in the 2018 executive compensation process by making recommendations with respect to the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Quirk, and his direct reports. Mr. Quirk, our Chief Executive Officer, made recommendations with respect to the compensation of his direct reports, as discussed further below. In addition, Michael L. Gravelle, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, coordinated with our compensation committee members and Mercer in preparing the committee's meeting agendas and, at the direction of the compensation committee, assisted Mercer in gathering financial information about FNF and stock ownership information for our executives for inclusion in the consultant's reports to our compensation committee. Our executive officers do not make recommendations to our compensation committee with respect to their own compensation.

While our compensation committee carefully considers the information provided by, and the recommendations of, Mercer and the individuals who participate in the compensation process, our compensation committee retains complete discretion to accept, reject or modify any recommended compensation decisions.

ESTABLISHING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION LEVELS

Our compensation committee considers a number of important qualitative and quantitative factors when determining the overall compensation of our named executive officers in 2018, including:

- The executive officer's experience, knowledge, skills, level of responsibility and potential to influence our company's performance;
- The executive officer's prior salary levels, annual incentive awards, annual incentive award targets and long-term equity incentive awards;
- The business environment and our business objectives and strategy;
- Our financial performance in the prior year;
- The need to retain and motivate executives (even in the current business cycle, it is critical that we not lose key people and long-term incentives help to retain key people);

- Corporate governance and regulatory factors related to executive compensation; and
- Marketplace compensation levels and practices.

In evaluating the compensation of our named executive officers, our compensation committee considers the recommendations of our Chairman. Our compensation committee also considers our Chief Executive Officer's recommendations with respect to the compensation of his direct reports. In making their recommendations, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer review the performance of the other named executive officers, job responsibilities, importance to our overall business strategy, and our compensation philosophy. Neither our Chairman nor our Chief Executive Officer makes a recommendation to our compensation committee regarding his own compensation. The compensation decisions are not formulaic, and the members of our compensation committee did not assign precise weights to the factors listed above. Our compensation committee utilized their individual and collective business judgment to review, assess, and approve compensation for our named executive officers.

To assist our compensation committee, the compensation consultant conducted marketplace reviews of the compensation we pay to our executive officers. They gathered marketplace compensation data on total compensation, which consists of annual salary, annual incentives, long-term incentives, executive benefits, executive ownership levels, overhang and dilution from our omnibus incentive plan, compensation levels as a percent of revenue, pay mix and other key statistics. This data is collected and analyzed twice during the year, once in the first quarter and again in the fourth quarter. The marketplace compensation data provides a point of reference for our compensation committee, but our compensation committee ultimately makes subjective compensation decisions based on all of the factors described above.

For 2018, Mercer used two marketplace data approaches: (1) an aggregation of three general executive compensation surveys with a specific focus on companies with revenues of between \$5 billion and \$20 billion, and (2) compensation information for a group of 19 companies, or the *FNF peer group*. The FNF peer group was based on a size range of approximately 1/2 to 2 times that of FNF, based on a combination of revenues, assets, and market capitalization, industry focus (generally the insurance industry based on Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) Code), nature and complexity of operations, and because they compete with us for business and/or executive talent. The 2018 peer group was consistent with the peer group used by the compensation committee in 2017. When defining the peer group, our compensation committee, working with the compensation consultant, considered the standards used by ISS for identifying peer groups for public companies. The 2018 peer group consisted of:

Alleghany Corporation	Genworth Financial, Inc.
American Financial Group	Lincoln National Corp.
Aon plc	Loews Corporation
Assurant Inc.	Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc.
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	Principal Financial Group, Inc.

CNA Financial Corporation	Reinsurance Group of American Incorporated
DXC Technology	Unum Group
Discover Financial Services	W.R. Berkley Corporation
Everest Re Group Ltd.	XL Group Ltd
First American Financial Corporation	

The compensation committee primarily focused on a reasonable range of compensation around the 50th percentile of the data when considering our named executive officers' 2018 base salaries, annual performance-based cash incentives and long-term equity incentives.

While the compensation decisions of our compensation committee ultimately were subjective judgments, our compensation committee also considered the following factors in making compensation decisions for our named executive officers. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Quirk, our compensation committee considered his more than 34 years of experience with FNF working in the title business and his importance to the continued successful operation of FNF's title business. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Park, our compensation committee considered his role and responsibility for accounting and financial reporting matters, as well as his 28 years of experience with FNF. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Bickett, our compensation committee considered his contribution to corporate finance matters, corporate development and mergers and acquisitions, as well as his 19 years experience with FNF. Our compensation committee also considered that Mr. Bickett serves as President of Cannae. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Jewkes, our compensation committee considered his role and responsibility for oversight of our day to day title operations, as well as his 32 years of experience with FNF and its predecessor companies. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Nolan, our compensation committee considered his role and responsibility for oversight of our title operations, his involvement in our investor relations, as well as his 36 years of experience with FNF.

The marketplace compensation information in this discussion is not deemed filed or a part of this compensation discussion and analysis for certification purposes.

OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS HAVE SIGNIFICANT OWNERSHIP STAKES

Our named executive officers and our board of directors maintain significant long-term investments in our company. Collectively, as reported in the table "Security Ownership of Management and Directors," they beneficially own an aggregate of 10,932,382 shares of our common stock and options to acquire an additional 5,106,150 shares of our common stock, which in total is equal to 5.8% of our shares entitled to vote. The fact that our executives and directors hold such a large investment in our shares is part of our company culture and our compensation philosophy. Management's sizable investment in our shares aligns their economic interests directly with the interests of our shareholders, and their wealth will rise and fall as our share price rises and falls. This promotes teamwork among our management team and strengthens the team's focus on achieving long-term results and increasing shareholder return.

We have formal stock ownership guidelines for all corporate officers, including our named executive officers, and members of our board of directors. The guidelines were established to encourage those individuals to hold a multiple of their base salary (or annual retainer) in our common stock and, thereby, align a significant portion of their own economic interests with those of our shareholders. Further, the award agreements for our 2018 restricted stock awards provide that our executives who do not hold shares of our stock with a value sufficient to satisfy the applicable stock ownership guidelines must retain 50% of the shares acquired as a result of the lapse of vesting restrictions until the executive satisfies the applicable stock ownership guideline. The ownership levels are shown in the “Security Ownership of Management and Directors” table above.

The guidelines call for the executive to reach the ownership multiple within four years. Shares of restricted stock and gain on stock options count toward meeting the guidelines. The guidelines, including those applicable to members of our board of directors, are as follows:

Position	Minimum Aggregated Value
Chairman of the Board	10 × annual cash retainer
Chief Executive Officers	5 × base salary
Other Officers	2 × base salary
Members of the Board	5 × annual cash retainer

Each of our named executive officers and non-employee directors met these stock ownership guidelines as of December 31, 2018.

HEDGING AND PLEDGING POLICY

In order to more closely align the interests of our directors and executive officers with those of our shareholders and to protect against inappropriate risk-taking, we maintain a hedging and pledging policy, which prohibits our executive officers and directors from engaging in hedging or monetization transactions with respect to our securities, engaging in short-term or speculative transactions in our securities that could create heightened legal risk and/or the appearance of improper or inappropriate conduct or holding FNF securities in margin accounts or pledging them as collateral for loans without our approval.

CLAWBACK POLICY

In December 2010, our compensation committee adopted a policy to recover any incentive-based compensation from our executive officers if we are required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements, and the incentive-based compensation paid during the preceding three-year period would have been lower had the compensation been based on the restated financial results.

TAX AND ACCOUNTING CONSIDERATIONS

Our compensation committee considers the impact of tax and accounting treatment when determining executive compensation.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code places a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount that can be deducted in any one-year for compensation paid to certain executive officers. Before being repealed by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in 2017, there was an exception for certain performance-based compensation. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act eliminated the performance-based compensation exception under Section 162(m) for awards that are not grandfathered and it increased the coverage of Section 162(m) to, among other things, include Chief Financial Officers and any individual who was subject to the Section 162(m) limitation in tax years beginning after 2016, even after employment ends. These changes will cause more of our named executive officer's compensation to be non deductible under Section 162(m) in the future, and eliminate our ability to structure performance-based awards to be exempt from Section 162(m). While our compensation committee considers the deductibility of awards as one factor in determining executive compensation, the compensation committee also looks at other factors in making its decisions, as noted above, and retains the flexibility to award compensation that it determines to be consistent with the goals of our executive compensation program even if the awards are not deductible for tax purposes.

Our compensation committee also considers the accounting impact when structuring and approving awards. We account for share based payments, including stock option grants, in accordance with ASC Topic 718, which governs the appropriate accounting treatment of share based payments under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP)

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management, and the compensation committee recommended to the board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Richard N. Massey
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane
Cary H. Thompson

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table contains information concerning the cash and non cash compensation awarded to or earned by our named executive officers for the years indicated.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
Raymond R. Quirk <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	2018	1,000,000	—	4,674,991	—	3,061,902	341,790	9,078,683
	2017	1,000,000	—	4,674,993	—	3,000,000	286,223	8,961,216
	2016	831,692	—	4,249,986	—	2,382,977	233,402	7,698,057
Anthony J. Park <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2018	525,000	—	1,166,009	—	1,088,950	182,751	2,962,710
	2017	525,000	—	1,165,990	—	1,591,273	138,538	3,420,801
	2016	483,000	—	1,099,990	—	984,952	122,161	2,690,103
Michael J. Nolan <i>President</i>	2018	630,000	—	1,802,002	—	1,617,869	183,273	4,233,144
	2017	630,000	—	1,801,998	—	1,575,500	90,848	4,098,346
	2016	557,308	—	1,805,263	—	1,369,806	64,726	3,797,103
Roger Jewkes <i>Chief Operating Officer</i>	2018	630,000	—	1,590,015	—	1,617,869	275,744	4,113,628
	2017	630,000	—	1,589,983	—	1,575,500	281,774	4,077,257
	2016	630,000	—	1,604,167	—	1,515,074	278,616	4,027,857
Brent B. Bickett <i>Executive Vice President, Corporate Strategy</i>	2018	405,500	—	1,606,466	—	1,241,601	335,989	3,589,556
	2017	550,500	—	1,606,477	—	4,869,138	277,662	7,303,777
	2016	550,500	—	1,515,538	—	1,719,663	220,727	4,006,428

2. Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the named executive officers' elections, if any, to defer receipt of salary into our 401(k) plan, ESPP, or deferred compensation plans.
3. Represents the grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards granted in 2018 computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718, excluding forfeiture assumptions. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for details regarding each award. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note O to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 19, 2019. The restricted stock awards are performance based.
4. Represents performance-based compensation earned in 2018 under our annual incentive plan by each executive.
5. Amounts shown for 2018 include matching contributions to our ESPP; dividends paid with respect to restricted stock that vested in 2018, which were withheld during the period of restriction and paid upon vesting; life insurance premiums paid by us; health insurance fees paid by us under the executive medical plan; personal use of a company airplane; automobile allowance; gift cards; and matching contributions to our 401(k) plan as reflected in the table below.

	Quirk (\$)	Park (\$)	Nolan (\$)	Bickett (\$)	Jewkes (\$)
ESPP Matching Contributions	46,654	38,539	15,137	41,287	47,250
Restricted Stock Dividends	248,733	65,738	106,590	91,800	93,256
Life Insurance Premiums	321	207	387	207	594
Personal Airplane Use – FNF	—	14,892	—	139,320	65,078
Executive Medical	40,344	57,637	55,421	57,637	57,637
Company Match – 401(k)	5,738	5,738	5,738	5,738	5,738
Automobile Allowance	—	—	—	—	6,000
Gift Cards	—	—	—	—	191

The following tables set forth information concerning awards granted to the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

(A) Name	(B) Grant Date	(C) Award Type	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			(J) All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	(K) Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾
			(D) Threshold (\$)	(E) Target (\$)	(F) Maximum (\$)	(G) Threshold(#)	(H) Target (#)	(I) Maximum (#)		
Raymond R. Quirk	10/26/2018	Performance-based Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	144,647	—	—	4,674,991
		Annual Incentive Plan	775,000	1,550,000	3,100,000	—	—	—	—	—
Anthony J. Park	10/26/2018	Performance-based Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	36,077	—	—	1,166,009
		Annual Incentive Plan	275,625	551,250	1,102,500	—	—	—	—	—

Chart Continued ►

(A) Name	(B) Grant Date	(C) Award Type	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			(J) All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	(K) Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾
			(D) Threshold (\$)	(E) Target (\$)	(F) Maximum (\$)	(G) Threshold(#)	(H) Target (#)	(I) Maximum (#)		
Brent B. Bickett	10/26/2018	Performance-based Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	49,705	—	—	1,606,466
		Annual Incentive Plan	314,263	628,525	1,257,050	—	—	—	—	—
Roger S. Jewkes	10/26/2018	Performance-based Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	49,196	—	—	1,590,015
		Annual Incentive Plan	409,500	819,000	1,638,000	—	—	—	—	—
Michael J. Nolan	10/26/2018	Performance-based Restricted Stock	—	—	—	—	55,755	—	—	1,802,002
		Annual Incentive Plan	409,500	819,000	1,638,000	—	—	—	—	—

1. With respect to the annual incentive plan, the amount shown in column (d) is 50% of the target amount shown in column (e), and the amount shown in column (f) is 200% of the target amount shown in column (e).
2. The amounts shown in column (h) reflect the number of shares of performance-based restricted stock granted to each named executive officer under our omnibus plan.
3. The amounts shown in column (k) represent the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award based upon a \$32.32 per share grant date fair value.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾			Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)		Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Raymond R. Quirk	11/8/2012	120,578	—	14.38	11/8/2019	—	—	—	—
	11/21/2013	656,181	—	17.76	11/21/2020	—	—	—	—
	11/3/2014	232,640	—	21.84	11/3/2021	—	—	—	—
	10/29/2015	300,273	—	25.53	10/29/2022	—	—	—	—
	12/21/2016	—	—	—	—	56,288	1,769,695	—	—
	10/30/2017	—	—	—	—	83,894	2,637,627	—	—
	10/26/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	144,647	4,547,702
Anthony J. Park	11/3/2014	29,080	—	21.84	11/3/2021	—	—	—	—
	10/29/2015	68,243	—	25.53	10/29/2022	—	—	—	—
	12/21/2016	—	—	—	—	14,570	458,081	—	—
	10/30/2017	—	—	—	—	20,924	657,851	—	—
	10/26/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	36,077	1,134,261
Michael J. Nolan	11/21/2013	75,884	—	17.76	11/21/2020	—	—	—	—
	11/3/2014	75,608	—	21.84	11/3/2021	—	—	—	—
	10/29/2015	116,014	—	25.53	10/29/2022	—	—	—	—
	3/3/2016	—	—	—	—	1,421	44,676	—	—
	12/21/2016	—	—	—	—	22,515	707,872	—	—
	10/30/2017	—	—	—	—	32,338	1,016,707	—	—
	10/26/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	55,755	1,752,937

Chart Continued ►

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾			Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)		Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Brent B. Bickett	11/21/2013	51,709	—	17.76	11/21/2020	—	—	—	—
	11/3/2014	58,160	—	21.84	11/3/2021	—	—	—	—
	10/29/2015	122,839	—	25.53	10/29/2022	—	—	—	—
	12/21/2016	—	—	—	—	20,073	631,095	—	—
	10/30/2017	—	—	—	—	28,829	906,384	—	—
	10/26/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	49,705	1,562,725
Roger Jewkes	11/21/2013	70,000	—	17.76	11/21/2020	—	—	—	—
	11/3/2014	90,148	—	21.84	11/3/2021	—	—	—	—
	10/29/2015	102,365	—	25.53	10/29/2022	—	—	—	—
	3/4/2016	—	—	—	—	1,405	44,173	—	—
	12/21/2016	—	—	—	—	19,868	624,650	—	—
	10/30/2017	—	—	—	—	28,533	897,078	—	—
	10/26/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	49,196	1,546,722

- Option grants made in 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 were granted under the omnibus incentive plan as part of our 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012 long-term incentive compensation and vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the date of grant. The number of options outstanding and the exercise prices were adjusted pursuant to the anti dilution provisions of the omnibus incentive plan in connection with the Black Knight Spin-Off.
- We made the March 2016, December 2016, October 2017 and October 2018 stock awards under the omnibus incentive plan. The March 2016 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the grant date. The December 2016 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the grant date given that we achieved title operating margin of 8% in our title segment in at least two of the six quarters beginning January 1, 2017. The October 2017 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the grant date provided that we achieve title operating margin of 8.5% in our title segment in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2017. The October 2018 grants vest in equal installments over a period of three years on each anniversary of the grant date provided that we achieve title operating margin of 8.5% in our title segment in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2018. Market values are based on the December 31, 2018 closing price of \$31.44 per share.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table sets forth information concerning each exercise of stock options, stock appreciation rights and similar instruments, and each vesting of stock, including restricted stock, restricted stock units and similar instruments, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 for each of the named executive officers on an aggregated basis:

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Raymond R. Quirk	—	—	132,582	4,198,972
Anthony J. Park	—	—	34,647	1,097,250
Brent B. Bickett	—	—	48,224	1,527,512
Roger Jewkes	185,909	3,304,970	49,274	1,570,098
Michael J. Nolan	—	—	56,131	1,787,673

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

We have entered into employment agreements with all of our named executive officers. Additional information regarding post-termination benefits provided under these employment agreements can be found in the “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” section.

RAYMOND R. QUIRK

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Quirk, effective October 10, 2008 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Quirk’s minimum annual base salary is \$740,000, with an annual cash incentive target of 150% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Quirk is entitled to supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least 2/3 of his pre-disability base salary, and Mr. Quirk and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Quirk is also entitled to, but does not receive, the payment of initiation and membership dues in any social or recreational clubs that we deem appropriate to maintain our business relationships, and he is eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

Effective as of February 4, 2010, FNF and Mr. Quirk entered into an amendment to Mr. Quirk’s employment agreement. The amendment provides that, if any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Quirk pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Quirk may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a “parachute

payment” under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Quirk does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Quirk is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Quirk’s employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” section.

ANTHONY J. PARK

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Park, effective October 10, 2008 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Park’s minimum annual base salary is \$375,000, with an annual cash incentive target equal to at least 100% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Park is entitled to supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least 2/3 of his pre-disability base salary, and Mr. Park and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Park is also entitled to, but does not receive, the payment of initiation and membership dues in any social or recreational clubs that we deem appropriate to maintain our business relationships, and he is eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

Effective as of February 4, 2010, FNF and Mr. Park entered into an amendment to Mr. Park’s employment agreement. The amendment provides that, if any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Park pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Park may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a “parachute payment” under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Park does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Park is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Park’s employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” section.

MICHAEL J. NOLAN

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Nolan, effective March 2, 2016 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the second anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Mr. Nolan is entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$575,000 and an annual cash bonus target of 100% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Nolan and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Nolan is also eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

If any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Nolan pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Nolan may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a “parachute payment” under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Nolan does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Nolan is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Nolan’s employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” section.

BRENT B. BICKETT

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Bickett, effective July 2, 2008 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the first anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Effective as of January 1, 2012, we entered into an amendment to the employment agreement with Mr. Bickett pursuant to which Mr. Bickett was entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$276,500 and an annual cash bonus target of 150% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Effective as of July 1, 2012, we entered into an additional amendment to the employment agreement with Mr. Bickett in connection with his increased role and full-time status with us. Under the terms of the agreement, as amended, Mr. Bickett’s minimum annual base salary is \$550,500, with an annual cash bonus target of 150% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Bickett is entitled to purchase supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least 60% of his pre-disability base salary, and Mr. Bickett and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Bickett is also eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

Effective as of February 4, 2010, FNF and Mr. Bickett entered into an amendment to Mr. Bickett’s employment agreement. The amendment provides that, if any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Bickett pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Bickett may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a “parachute payment” under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Bickett does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Bickett is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Bickett’s employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” section.

ROGER S. JEWKES

We entered into a three-year amended and restated employment agreement with Mr. Jewkes, effective March 3, 2016 with a provision for automatic annual extensions beginning on the second anniversary of the effective date and continuing thereafter unless either party provides timely notice that the term should not be extended. Mr. Jewkes is entitled to a minimum annual base salary of \$630,000 and an annual cash bonus target of 100% of his annual base salary, with amounts payable depending on performance relative to targeted results. Mr. Jewkes and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group. Mr. Jewkes is also eligible to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee.

If any payments or benefits to be paid to Mr. Jewkes pursuant to the terms of the employment agreement would be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then Mr. Jewkes may elect for such payments to be reduced to one dollar less than the amount that would constitute a “parachute payment” under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. If Mr. Jewkes does not elect to have such payments so reduced, Mr. Jewkes is responsible for payment of any excise tax resulting from such payments and shall not be entitled to a gross-up payment under the employment agreement.

Mr. Jewkes’ employment agreement contains provisions related to the payment of benefits upon certain termination events. The details of these provisions are set forth in the “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control” section.

ANNUAL INCENTIVE AWARDS

In 2018, our compensation committee approved performance-based cash incentive award opportunities for our named executive officers. The performance-based cash incentive award opportunities are calculated by multiplying base salary by the named executive officer’s applicable percentage approved by our compensation committee based on the level of performance that we achieved. More information about the annual incentive awards, including the targets and criteria for determining the amounts payable to our named executive officers, can be found in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section.

LONG-TERM EQUITY INCENTIVE AWARDS

In October 2018, our compensation committee approved grants of performance-based restricted stock to all our named executive officers. The performance element applicable to the performance-based restricted stock is based upon achievement of pre-tax margin in our title segment of 8.5% in at least two of the five quarters beginning October 1, 2018. The restricted stock also vests proportionately each year over three years based on continued employment with us. More information about the long-term equity incentive awards can be found in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

Under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, which was amended and restated effective January 1, 2009, participants, including our named executive officers, can defer up to 75% of their base salary and 100% of their monthly, quarterly and annual incentives, subject to a minimum deferral of \$18,500. Deferral elections are made during specified enrollment periods. Deferrals and related earnings are not subject to vesting conditions.

Participants' accounts are bookkeeping entries only and participants' benefits are unsecured. Participants' accounts are credited or debited daily based on the performance of hypothetical investments selected by the participant, and may be changed on any business day.

Upon retirement, which generally means separation of employment after attaining age 60, an individual may elect either a lump-sum withdrawal or installment payments over 5, 10 or 15 years. Similar payment elections are available for pre-retirement survivor benefits. In the event of a termination prior to retirement, distributions are paid over a 5-year period. Account balances less than the applicable Internal Revenue Code Section 402(g) limit will be distributed in a lump sum. Participants can elect to receive in-service distributions in a plan year designated by the participant and these amounts will be paid within two and one-half months from the close of the plan year in which they were elected to be paid. The participant may also petition us to suspend elected deferrals, and to receive partial or full payout under the plan, in the event of an unforeseeable financial emergency, provided that the participant does not have other resources to meet the hardship.

Plan participation continues until termination of employment. Participants will receive their account balance in a lump-sum distribution if employment is terminated within two years after a change in control.

In 2004, Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code was passed. Section 409A changed the tax laws applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation plans, generally placing more restrictions on the timing of deferrals and distributions. The deferred compensation plan contains amounts deferred before and after the passage of Section 409A.

For amounts subject to Section 409A, which in general terms includes amounts deferred after December 31, 2004, a modification to a participant's payment elections may be made upon the following events:

- **Retirement:** Participants may modify the distribution schedule for a retirement distribution from a lump-sum to annual installments or vice versa, however, a modification to the form of payment requires that the payment(s) commence at least five years after the participant's retirement, and this election must be filed with the administrator at least 12 months prior to retirement.
- **In-service Distributions:** Participants may modify each in-service distribution date by extending it by at least five years; however, participants may not accelerate the in-service distribution date and this election must be filed with the administrator at least 12 months prior to the scheduled in-service distribution date.

Deferral amounts that were vested on or before December 31, 2004 are generally not subject to Section 409A and are governed by more liberal distribution provisions that were in effect prior to the passage of Section 409A. For example, a participant may withdraw these grandfathered amounts at any time, subject to a withdrawal penalty of ten percent, or may change the payment elections for these grandfathered amounts if notice is timely provided.

The table below describes the contributions and distributions made with respect to the named executive officers' accounts under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan. Of our named executive officers, only Mr. Jewkes deferred 2018 compensation under the plan. Messrs. Quirk and Nolan do not have balances in the nonqualified deferred compensation plan.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
Anthony J. Park	—	—	(26,911)	—	348,093
Roger Jewkes	157,500	—	(76,286)	—	1,457,748
Brent B. Bickett	—	—	(39,428)	—	635,418

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

In this section, we discuss the nature and estimated value of payments and benefits we would provide to our named executive officers in the event of termination of employment or a change in control. The amounts described in this section reflect amounts that would have been payable under (i) our plans, and (ii) where applicable, their employment agreements if their employment had terminated on December 31, 2018.

The types of termination situations include a voluntary termination by the executive, with or without good reason, a termination by us either for cause or not for cause and termination in the event of disability or death. We also describe the estimated payments and benefits that would be provided upon a change in control without a termination of employment. The actual payments and benefits that would be provided upon a termination of employment would be based on the named executive officers' compensation and benefit levels at the time of the termination of employment and the value of accelerated vesting of share based awards would be dependent on the value of the underlying stock.

For each type of employment termination, the named executive officers would be entitled to benefits that are available generally to our domestic salaried employees, such as distributions under our 401(k) savings plan, certain disability benefits and accrued vacation. We have not described or provided an estimate of the value of any payments or benefits under plans or arrangements that do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of a named executive officer and that are generally available to all salaried employees. In addition to these generally available plans and arrangements, the named executive officers would be entitled to benefits under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, as described above in the "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" table and accompanying narrative.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UNDER EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

As discussed above, we have entered into employment or service agreements with our named executive officers. The agreements contain provisions for the payment of severance benefits following certain termination events. Below is a summary of the payments and benefits that the named executive officers would receive in connection with various employment or service termination scenarios.

Termination Payment	Without Cause or by the Executive for Good Reason	Death or Disability ⁽¹⁾	For Cause or Without Good Reason	Change of Control
Accrued obligations (earned unpaid base salary, annual bonus payments relating to the prior year, and any unpaid expense reimbursements)	✓	✓	✓	✗
Prorated Annual Bonus based on the actual incentive the named executive officer would have earned for the year of termination ⁽²⁾	✓	✓	✗	✗
Lump-sum Payment equal to a percentage, of the sum of the executive's (a) annual base salary and (b) the target bonus opportunity in the year in which the termination of employment occurs ⁽³⁾	✓	✗	✗	✗
Right to convert any life insurance provided by us into an individual policy, plus a lump-sum cash payment equal to thirty six months (18 months in the case of Messrs. Nolan and Jewkes) of premiums	✓	✗	✗	✗
COBRA coverage (so long as the executive pays the premiums) for a period of three years (18 months in the case of Messrs. Nolan and Jewkes) or, if earlier, until eligible for comparable benefits from another employer, plus a lump-sum cash payment equal to the sum of thirty six (18 in the case of Messrs. Nolan and Jewkes) monthly COBRA premium payments	✓	✗	✗	✗
Vesting of all stock option, restricted stock and other equity-based incentive awards , unless the equity incentive awards are based upon satisfaction of performance criteria and not based solely on the passage of time, which vest pursuant to the terms of the award	✓	✓	✗	✗

- Messrs. Quirk's and Park's employment agreements provide for supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide at least 2/3 of the executive's pre-disability base salary. Mr. Bickett is entitled to purchase supplemental disability insurance sufficient to provide 60% of his pre-disability base salary. An executive will be deemed to have a "disability" if he is entitled to receive long-term disability benefits under our long-term disability plan.
- The prorated annual bonus is based on the following:
 - In the event of a termination without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason, the actual incentive the named executive officer would have earned for the year of termination and the fraction of the year the executive was employed by us.
 - In the event of a termination for death or disability, the target annual bonus opportunity in the year in which the termination occurs or the prior year if no target annual bonus opportunity has yet been determined and (b) the fraction of the year the executive was employed.

3. The percentage for the lump sum payment for each executive is as follows: Mr. Quirk 200%, Mr. Park 200%, Mr. Bickett 200%, Mr. Nolan 100%, and Mr. Jewkes 100%. For Messrs. Quirk, Park and Bickett, the bonus used for the lump-sum payment is the higher of (1) target, or (2) the highest annual bonus paid to the executive within the preceding three years.

Definition: Cause. The table below shows for each of the named executive officers the reasons that the Company may terminate the executive’s employment for “Cause.”

Definition of “Cause” includes:	Quirk	Park	Nolan	Bickett	Jewkes
Persistent failure to perform duties consistent with a commercially reasonable standard of care	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Willful neglect of duties	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Conviction of, or pleading nolo contendere to, criminal or other illegal activities involving dishonesty	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Material breach of the employment agreement	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Impeding or failing to materially cooperate with an investigation authorized by our board of directors	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Definition: Good Reason. The table below shows for each of the named executive officers the reasons that each executive may terminate his employment for “Good Reason.”

Definition of “Good Reason” includes:	Quirk	Park	Nolan	Bickett	Jewkes
Material diminution in the executive’s title ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Material diminution of the executive’s base salary or annual bonus opportunity	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Material breach of any of our obligations under the employment agreement	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<p>Within six months immediately preceding or within two years immediately following a change of control:⁽²⁾</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A material adverse change in the executive’s status, authority or responsibility; A material adverse change in the position to whom the executive reports or to the executive’s service relationship as a result of such reporting structure change, or a material diminution in the authority, duties or responsibilities of the position to whom the executive reports; A material diminution in the budget over which the executive has managing authority; or A material change in the geographic location of the executive’s place of employment. 	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

1. For purposes of Mr. Quirk's, Park's and Bickett's employment agreements, this also includes a material diminution in the executive's position or the assignment of duties to the executive that are materially inconsistent with the executive's position or title.
2. For purposes of Messrs. Quirk's, Park's and Bickett's employment agreements, a "change of control" includes (1) an acquisition by an individual, entity or group of more than 50% of our voting power; (2) a merger in which we are not the surviving entity, unless our shareholders immediately prior to the merger hold more than 50% of the combined voting power of the resulting corporation after the merger; (3) a reverse merger in which we are the surviving entity but in which more than 50% of the combined voting power is transferred to persons different from those holding the securities immediately prior to such merger; (4) during any period of two consecutive years during the employment term, a change in the majority of our board, unless the changes are approved by 2/3 of the directors then in office; (5) a sale, transfer or other disposition of our assets that have a total fair market value equal to or more than 1/3 of the total fair market value of all of our assets immediately before the sale, transfer or disposition, other than a sale, transfer or disposition to an entity (i) which immediately after the sale, transfer or disposition owns 50% of our voting stock or (ii) 50% of the voting stock of which is owned by us after the sale, transfer or disposition; or (6) our shareholders approve a plan or proposal for the liquidation or dissolution of our company.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UNDER FNF OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN

In addition to the post-termination rights and obligations set forth in the employment agreements of our named executive officers, our omnibus incentive plan provides for the potential acceleration of vesting and/or payment of equity awards in connection with a change in control. Under our omnibus incentive plan, except as otherwise provided in a participant's award agreement, upon the occurrence of a change in control any and all outstanding options and stock appreciation rights will become immediately exercisable, any restriction imposed on restricted stock, restricted stock units and other awards will lapse, and any and all performance shares, performance units and other awards with performance conditions will be deemed earned at the target level, or, if no target level is specified, the maximum level.

For purposes of our omnibus plan, the term "change in control" means the occurrence of any of the following events:

- An acquisition by an individual, entity or group of 25% or more of our voting power (except for acquisitions by us or any of our employee benefit plans),
- During any period of two consecutive years, a change in the majority of our board, unless the change is approved by 2/3 of the directors then in office,
- A reorganization, merger, share exchange, consolidation or sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets; excluding, however, a transaction pursuant to which we retain specified levels of stock ownership and board seats, or
- Our shareholders approve a plan or proposal for our liquidation or dissolution.

ESTIMATED CASH PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT

The table below includes the cash severance amounts that would have been payable to each executive in the event of a termination of employment by us not for cause or a termination by the executive for good reason. Our estimate of the cash severance amounts that would be provided to each executive assumes that their employment terminated on December 31, 2018. The severance amounts do not include a prorated 2018 annual incentive since the named executive officers would have been paid based on their service through the end of the year and therefore would have received the annual incentive whether or not the termination occurred.

Reason for Termination Payment:	Quirk	Park	Nolan	Bickett	Jewkes
Termination by Company not for Cause	\$8,276,308	\$3,426,382	\$2,393,942	\$4,311,312	\$2,396,812
Termination by Employee for Good Reason	\$8,276,308	\$3,426,382	\$2,393,942	\$4,311,312	\$2,396,812
Death	–	–	–	–	–
Disability	–	–	–	–	–

ESTIMATED EQUITY PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

The table below includes the estimated values of the FNF restricted stock awards held by the named executive officers that would vest upon a change of control, or upon the termination of their employment due to death or disability, in each case assuming such event occurred on December 31, 2018. The amounts below were determined based upon the number of unvested restricted shares held by each executive as of December 31, 2018 (as set forth in the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End table above), multiplied by \$31.44 per share, which was the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2018. None of our named executive officers held any unvested stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2018.

Reason for Termination Payment:	Quirk	Park	Nolan	Bickett	Jewkes
Termination without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason	\$4,644,116	\$1,176,061	\$1,865,953	\$1,620,322	\$1,651,628
Death	\$9,235,212	\$2,321,145	\$3,635,617	\$3,197,958	\$3,213,109
Disability	\$9,235,212	\$2,321,145	\$3,635,617	\$3,197,958	\$3,213,109
Change in Control	\$9,235,212	\$2,321,145	\$3,635,617	\$3,197,958	\$3,213,109

In connection with certain change in control transactions, our named executive officers may require ServiceLink to purchase their ServiceLink profits interest awards for an amount equal to the fair market value of the interests.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The compensation committee is currently composed of Richard N. Massey (Chair), Cary H. Thompson, and Daniel D. (Ron) Lane. During fiscal year 2018, no member of the compensation committee was a former or current officer or employee of FNF or any of its subsidiaries. In addition, during fiscal year 2018, none of our executive officers served (i) as a member of the compensation committee or board of directors of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our compensation committee, or (ii) as a member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our board.

DISCUSSION OF OUR COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO RISK MANAGEMENT

We reviewed our compensation policies and programs for all employees, including our named executive officers, and determined that our compensation programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our company. In conducting the analysis, we reviewed the structure of our executive, non-officer and sales commission incentive programs and the internal controls and risk abatement processes that are in place for each program. We also reviewed data compiled across our direct title operations, agency title operations, ServiceLink, and corporate operations relative to total revenue, total profits, total compensation expenses and incentive program expenses (including as a percentage of both revenue and total compensation expenses).

We believe that several design features of our executive compensation programs mitigate risk. We set base salaries at levels that provide our employees with assured cash compensation that is appropriate to their job duties and level of responsibility and that, when taken together with incentive awards, motivate them to perform at a high level without encouraging inappropriate risk-taking to achieve a reasonable level of secure compensation.

With respect to our executives' incentive opportunities, we believe that our use of measurable corporate financial performance goals, multiple performance levels and minimum, target and maximum achievable payouts, together with the compensation committee's discretion to reduce awards, serve to mitigate excessive risk-taking. The risk of overstatement of financial figures to which incentives are tied is mitigated by the compensation committee's review and approval of the awards and payments under the awards, our ability to recover any incentive-based compensation pursuant to our clawback policy and the internal and external review of our financials. We also believe that our balance of stock options and restricted stock and use of multi-year vesting schedules in our long-term incentive awards encourages recipients to deliver incremental value to our shareholders and aligns their interests with our sustainable long-term performance, thereby mitigating risk. We also require meaningful stock ownership multiples for some executives and included stock retention requirements in our restricted stock awards, both of which help to align our executives' interests with our long-term performance and mitigate risk.

With respect to our non-officer incentive program, we believe that our use of clearly communicated performance goals and close monitoring by our corporate accounting group, corporate underwriting group and senior management serve to mitigate excessive risk-taking. Our sales commission incentive program is based on revenue generation, which is critical to our performance. We have controls in place that mitigate the risk that transactions might be recommended or executed to earn short term, commission based incentive compensation, including operational management oversight and approval, management reporting, and detailed underwriting guidelines and approval escalation.

2017 CEO PAY RATIO

As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, we are providing the following information about the relationship of the annual total compensation of our CEO and the annual total compensation of our employees for 2018, which we refer to as the CEO pay ratio. Our CEO pay ratio information is a reasonable good faith estimate calculated in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K.

The ratio of the annual total compensation of our CEO, calculated as described above, to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees for 2018 was 173 to 1. This ratio was based on the following:

- The annual total compensation of our CEO, determined as described above, was \$9,078,683; and
- The median of the annual total compensation of all employees (other than our CEO), determined in accordance with SEC rules, was \$52,530.

Methodology for Determining Our Median Employee. For purposes of the above CEO pay ratio disclosure, we are required to identify a median employee based on our worldwide workforce, without regard to their location, compensation arrangements, or employment status (full-time versus part-time). The median employee is determined by identifying the employee whose compensation is at the median of the compensation of our employee population (other than our CEO). Accordingly, to identify the median of the compensation of our employee population, the methodology and the material assumptions and estimates that we used were as follows:

Employee Population. We determined that, as of November 30, 2018, the date we selected to identify the median employee, our total global employee population consisted of approximately 26,600 individuals working for FNF.

Compensation Measure Used to Identify the Median Employee. Given the geographical distribution of our employee population, we use a variety of pay elements to structure the compensation arrangements of our employees. Consequently, for purposes of measuring the compensation of our employees to identify the median employee, rather than using annual total compensation, we selected base salary/wages and overtime pay, plus paid incentive bonus through November 30, 2018 as the compensation measure.

- We annualized the compensation of employees to cover the full calendar year, and also annualized any new hires in 2018 as if they were hired at the beginning of the fiscal year, as permitted by SEC rules, in identifying the median employee.
- We did not make any cost-of-living adjustments in identifying the median employee.
- Using this methodology, we estimated that the median employee was an employee with base salary/wages and overtime pay plus paid incentive bonus for the year ended December 31, 2018 of \$52,530.

Annual Total Compensation of Median Employee. In order to determine the annual total compensation of the median employee, we identified and calculated the elements of that employee's compensation for 2018 in accordance with the requirements of Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K, resulting in annual total compensation in the amount of \$52,530.

Annual Total Compensation of Chief Executive Officer. With respect to the annual total compensation of our CEO, in accordance with SEC rules, we included the amount reported for Mr. Quirk in the "Total" column for 2018 in the Summary Compensation Table included in this proxy statement.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION OF OUR CHAIRMAN

William P. Foley, II serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board, and we entered into a non-executive director services agreement with him on January 8, 2016. In determining the total compensation for Mr. Foley we considered his strategic vision, ongoing role in implementing our long-term strategy, particularly in light of his long-term knowledge as our founder and long-term executive and director, and his strong track record of building and maintaining shareholder value.

Mr. Foley's non-executive director services agreement provides that he will receive an annual retainer of \$780,000. The agreement provides that Mr. Foley and his eligible dependents are entitled to medical and other insurance coverage we provide to our other top executives as a group and that Mr. Foley is entitled to continued use of our aircraft. Mr. Foley is also entitled to receive equity grants under our equity incentive plans, as determined by our compensation committee, with the grant date fair value of the annual grant being at least \$600,000. In 2018, pursuant to his director services agreement, Mr. Foley received an annual board retainer of \$780,000 for his services relating to FNF and a long-term incentive award of 23,175 restricted shares for his board duties.

Under the terms of Mr. Foley's agreement, if his service is terminated by us for any reason other than for cause, due to death or disability, by him for good reason or if he is not nominated to run for re election as chairman of the board, is nominated, but does not receive enough votes to be re-elected to the board, or is removed as chairman of the board for reasons other than cause, then he is entitled to receive:

- Any accrued obligations, and
- Immediate vesting and/or payment of all our equity awards.

If we terminate Mr. Foley's service for cause or he resigns without good reason our only obligation is the payment of any accrued obligations.

For purposes of Mr. Foley's agreement, "cause" includes Mr. Foley's persistent failure to perform duties consistent with a commercially reasonable standard of care, willful neglect of duties, conviction of, or pleading nolo contendere to, criminal or other illegal activities involving dishonesty, material breach of his agreement, or impeding or failing to materially cooperate with an investigation authorized by our board. The term "good reason" includes a material diminution in his position or title or the assignment of duties to him that are materially inconsistent with his position or title, a material diminution of his annual retainer, within six months immediately preceding or within two years immediately following a change in control, (1) a material adverse change in this status, authority or responsibility, (2) a material adverse change in the position to whom he reports or to his service relationship as a result of such reporting structure change, or a material diminution in the authority, duties or responsibilities of the position to whom he reports, our material breach of any of our obligations under the agreement, or election of a new director to the board of directors who he did not consent to or vote for.

COMPENSATION OF OUR OTHER DIRECTORS

Mr. Quirk, who is a salaried employee, receives no additional compensation for services as a member of our board. In 2018, all non-employee directors other than Mr. Foley received an annual retainer of \$80,000, payable quarterly. The chairman and each member of the audit committee received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$100,000 and \$35,000, respectively, for their service on the audit committee. The chairman and each member of the compensation committee received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$25,000 and \$15,000, respectively, for their service on such committees. The chairman and each member of the corporate governance and nominating committee received an additional annual fee (payable in quarterly installments) of \$20,000 and \$10,000, respectively, for their service on such committees. Mr. Massey, who serves as our Lead Independent Director, does not receive any additional compensation for that role. In addition, in 2018 each non-employee director received a long-term incentive award of 6,652 restricted shares. These restricted share awards were granted under our omnibus plan and vest proportionately each year over three years from the date of grant based upon continued service on our board, subject to the achievement of performance-based criteria. We also reimburse each non-employee director for all reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attendance at board and committee meetings and director education programs. Finally, each non-employee member of our board is eligible to participate in our deferred compensation plan to the extent he or she elects to defer any board or committee fees. Mr. Ammerman and Mr. Rood deferred the fees each earned in 2018 for his services as a director and the chairman and member of the audit committee.

In addition, Mr. Rood received a retainer of \$2,500 for his services on the ServiceLink audit and risk committees during the first quarter of 2018, after which Mr. Rood ceased to serve as a director of ServiceLink.

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our non-employee directors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. The table does not include information concerning the compensation of Willie D. Davis, who is serving as Director Emeritus for a three-year term ending at our 2021 annual shareholder meeting. As Director Emeritus, Mr. Davis is invited to attend Board meetings, but does not vote on board matters. In 2018, he received for his service (i) an annual cash retainer of \$40,000, and (ii) an annual equity retainer of 3,326 shares with a grant date fair value of approximately \$107,497, which are equal to 1/2 of the cash and equity retainers received by our other directors.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Non Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
William P. Foley, II	780,000	749,016	—	—	195,743	1,724,759
Douglas K. Ammerman	180,000	214,993	—	—	15,887	410,880
Thomas M. Hagerty	80,000	214,993	—	—	15,887	310,880

Chart Continued ►

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Non Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane	95,000	214,993	—	—	15,887	325,880
Richard N. Massey	115,000	214,993	—	—	16,495	346,488
Heather H. Murren	103,430	214,993	—	—	3,800	322,223
John D. Rood	117,500	214,993	—	—	15,887	348,380
Peter O. Shea, Jr.	100,000	214,993	—	—	15,887	330,880
Cary H. Thompson	95,000	214,993	—	—	15,887	325,880

1. Represents the cash portion of annual board and committee retainers and meeting fees earned for services as a FNF director in 2018 for all directors except Mr. Rood, who also earned a retainer of \$2,500 for his services as a ServiceLink director in during the first quarter of 2018.
2. Amounts shown for all directors represent the grant date fair value of a restricted stock award granted in 2018, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The awards vest over a period of three years from the grant date. Assumptions used in the calculation of the amounts of the FNF awards are included in Note O to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 19, 2019. Restricted stock awards granted for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 for each director were as follows: Mr. Foley 23,175; Mr. Ammerman 6,652; Mr. Hagerty 6,652; Mr. Lane 6,652; Mr. Massey 6,652; Ms. Murren 6,652; Mr. Rood 6,652; Mr. Shea 6,652; and Mr. Thompson 6,652. The fair value of the awards as shown above is based on a per share fair value of \$32.32. As of December 31, 2018, FNF restricted stock awards outstanding for each director were as follows: Mr. Foley 43,685; Mr. Ammerman 14,152; Mr. Hagerty 14,152; Mr. Lane 14,152; Mr. Massey 14,152; Ms. Murren 13,819; Mr. Rood 14,152; Mr. Shea, Jr. 14,152; and Mr. Thompson 14,152.
3. There were no option awards granted for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018. As of December 31, 2018, FNF option awards outstanding for each director were as follows: Mr. Foley 2,512,942; Mr. Ammerman 37,989; Mr. Hagerty 99,139; Mr. Lane 7,138; Mr. Massey 94,565; Ms. Murren 0; Mr. Rood 84,740; Mr. Shea, Jr. 92,777; and Mr. Thompson 7,138.
4. Amounts shown for all directors reflect dividends paid with respect to restricted stock that vested in 2018, which were withheld during the period of restriction and paid upon vesting.

PROPOSAL NO. 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION


In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act and Rule 14a 21(a) promulgated thereunder, we are asking our shareholders to approve, in a non-binding advisory vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K.

We believe that our compensation programs are structured to appropriately balance guaranteed base salary and performance-based at-risk annual and long-term incentives so as to incent our executives to drive strong short and long-term performance while providing enough ensured annual compensation in the form of base salary to discourage excessive risk-taking. We believe


that the success of this approach is evidenced by our strong operating results. In 2018, we generated \$7.7 billion of total revenue (excluding \$95 million of noncash, valuation losses on investment securities) and \$635 million of net earnings from continuing operations, despite a decline in overall U.S. residential mortgage originations. As reflected in the charts below, over the previous five years, we have delivered consistently strong revenue and earnings.

	Year ended December 31,				
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total Revenue <i>(in millions)</i>	\$5,647	\$6,664	\$7,257	\$7,663	\$7,689
Net Earnings from Continuing Operations <i>(in millions)</i>	\$249	\$501	\$622	\$639	\$635

TOTAL REVENUE



NET EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS



Our consistent operating results have translated into strong returns for our shareholders. During the three-year period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018, we delivered a total return to our shareholders of approximately 41%, compared to S&P 500 total return of 30% during the same period. This includes a return of approximately \$845 million during this three-year period to our shareholders in the form of cash dividends.

We currently hold our “say on pay” vote every year. A majority of our shareholders approved our “say on pay” proposal in 2018, with approximately 96% of the votes cast in favor of the proposal and approximately 4% of the votes cast against the proposal.

Our compensation committee is committed to hearing and responding to the views of our shareholders in creating and tailoring our executive compensation programs. Following the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, our compensation committee instructed management to continue to engage in extensive shareholder outreach so they could better understand and respond to the concerns of our shareholders, including with respect to our compensation programs. This shareholder outreach plan is described in detail in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this proxy statement.

We urge our shareholders to read the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this proxy statement, which describes in detail our compensation philosophy and how our compensation programs operate and are designed to achieve our business and compensation objectives, as well as the “Summary Compensation Table” and other related compensation tables and disclosures, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers.

We ask our shareholders to vote on the following resolution at the annual meeting:

“ RESOLVED, that the Company’s shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive and Director Compensation section, the compensation tables and related narrative. ”

The vote on this resolution is not intended to address any specific element of compensation; rather, the vote relates to the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement in accordance with the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Approval of this resolution requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote. However, as this is an advisory vote, the results will not be binding on the Company, the board or the compensation committee, and will not require us to take any action. The final decision on the compensation of our named executive officers remains with our compensation committee and the board, although the compensation committee and the board will consider the outcome of this vote when making compensation decisions.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

PROPOSAL NO. 3: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Although shareholder ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is not required by our bylaws or otherwise, we are submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP (EY LLP) to our shareholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. Even if the selection is ratified, our audit committee in its discretion may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and our shareholders. If our shareholders do not ratify the audit committee's selection, the audit committee will take that fact into consideration, together with such other factors it deems relevant, in determining its next selection of our independent registered public accounting firm.

In choosing our independent registered public accounting firm, our audit committee conducts a comprehensive review of the qualifications of those individuals who will lead and serve on the engagement team, the quality control procedures the firm has established, and any issue raised by the most recent quality control review of the firm. The review also includes matters required to be considered under the Securities and Exchange Commission rules on "Auditor Independence," including the nature and extent of non-audit services to ensure that they will not impair the independence of the accountants.

Representatives of EY are expected to be present at the annual meeting. These representatives will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The audit committee has appointed EY to audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the 2019 fiscal year. EY has continuously acted as our independent registered public accounting firm since August 2, 2017. For services rendered to us during or in connection with our year ended December 31, 2018, we were billed the following fees by EY:

	2018 <i>(In thousands)</i>	2017
Audit Fees	\$3,168	\$2,875
Audit-related Fees	303	—
Tax Fees	59	15
All Other Fees	2	850

Prior to engaging EY in 2017, KPMG LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm. For services rendered to us during or in connection with our year ended December 31, 2017, we were billed the following fees by KPMG LLP:

	2017 <i>(In thousands)</i>
Audit Fees	\$1,084
Audit-related Fees	563
Tax Fees	190
All Other Fees	—

Audit Fees. Audit fees consisted principally of fees for the audits, registration statements and other filings related to the Company’s 2018 and 2017 financial statements, and audits of the Company’s subsidiaries required for regulatory reporting purposes, including billings for out-of-pocket expenses incurred.

Audit-related Fees. Audit-related fees in 2018 and 2017 consisted principally of fees for Service Organization Control Reports.

Tax Fees. Tax fees for 2018 and 2017 consisted principally of fees for tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice.

All Other Fees. All other fees relate primarily to services provided for regulatory inspection readiness assessments.

APPROVAL OF ACCOUNTANTS’ SERVICES

In accordance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, all audit and audit-related work and all non-audit work performed by EY LLP is approved in advance by the audit committee, including the proposed fees for such work. Our pre-approval policy provides that, unless a type of service to be provided by EY has been generally pre approved by the audit committee, it will require specific pre-approval by the audit committee. In addition, any proposed services exceeding pre approved maximum fee amounts also require pre-approval by the audit committee. Our pre-approval policy provides that specific pre-approval authority is delegated to our audit committee chairman, provided that the estimated fee for the proposed service does not exceed a pre approved maximum amount set by the committee. Our audit committee chairman must report any pre-approval decisions to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT THE SHAREHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE RATIFICATION OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE COMPANY’S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2019 FISCAL YEAR.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS, DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The number of our common shares beneficially owned by each individual or group is based upon information in documents filed by such person with the Securities and Exchange Commission, other publicly available information or information available to us. Percentage ownership in the following tables is based on 274,856,177 shares of our common stock outstanding as of April 15, 2019. Unless otherwise indicated, each of the shareholders has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of our common stock beneficially owned by that shareholder. The number of shares beneficially owned by each shareholder is determined under rules issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table sets forth information regarding beneficial ownership of our common stock by each shareholder who is known by the Company to beneficially own 5% or more of such class:

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Series ⁽²⁾
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022	16,085,953	5.9%
Principal Global Investors, LLC 801 Grand Avenue, Des Moines, IA 50392	17,883,626	6.5%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, MD 21202	19,643,910	7.2%
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, PA 19355	25,847,631	9.4%

1. Based on information as of December 31, 2018 that has been publicly filed with the SEC.

2. Applicable percentages based on shares of our common stock outstanding as of April 15, 2019.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS

The following table sets forth information regarding beneficial ownership as of April 15, 2019 of our common stock by:

- Each of our directors and nominees for director;
- Each of the named executive officers as defined in Item 402(a)(3) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission; and
- All of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares	Number of Options ⁽²⁾	Total	Percent of Total
Douglas K. Ammerman	113,827	37,989	151,816	*
Brent B. Bickett	547,352	232,708	780,060	*
William P. Foley, II ⁽³⁾	6,350,065	2,512,942	8,863,007	3.2%
Thomas M. Hagerty	214,817	99,139	313,956	*
Roger Jewkes ⁽⁴⁾	614,513	262,513	877,026	*
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane	268,348	7,138	275,486	*
Richard N. Massey	167,435	94,565	262,000	*
Heather H. Murren	17,400	–	17,400	*
Michael J. Nolan ⁽⁵⁾	224,918	267,506	492,424	*
Anthony J. Park ⁽⁶⁾	368,776	97,323	466,099	*
Raymond R. Quirk ⁽⁷⁾	1,757,662	1,309,672	3,067,334	1.1%
John D. Rood	79,133	84,740	163,873	*
Peter O. Shea, Jr.	141,760	92,777	234,537	*
Cary H. Thompson	66,376	7,138	73,514	*
All directors and officers (16 persons)	11,450,454	5,691,568	17,142,022	6.2%

* Represents less than 1% of our common stock.

1. The business address of such beneficial owner is c/o Fidelity National Financial, Inc., 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204.
2. Includes vested options and options vesting within 60 days of April 15, 2019.
3. Includes 2,245,122 shares of our common stock held by Folco Development Corporation, of which Mr. Foley and his spouse are the sole shareholders; and 708,106 shares of our common stock owned by the Foley Family Charitable Foundation. Includes 2,300,000 directly owned shares and 1,700,000 shares owned by Folco Development Corporation that are pledged as security in accordance with a previously granted waiver to our hedging and pledging policy.
4. Includes 502,576 shares held by the Jewkes Family Trust.
5. Includes 11,085 shares held by the Michael J. Nolan Trust.
6. Includes 154,653 shares owned by the Anthony J. Park and Deborah L. Park Living Trusts.
7. Includes 1,390,002 shares held by the Quirk 2002 Trust, and 47,193 shares held by the Raymond Quirk 2004 Trust.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2018 about our common stock which may be issued under our equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights) ⁽¹⁾
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	7,543,787	20.55	12,481,493
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	7,543,787	20.55	12,481,493

1. In addition to being available for future issuance upon exercise of options and SARs under the FNF omnibus plan, these shares of common stock may be issued in connection with new awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, options or other stock based awards.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

AGREEMENTS WITH CANNAE

As a result of the Split Off, FNF and Cannae operate separately. In connection with the Split Off, our title insurance underwriters Fidelity National Title Insurance Company, Chicago Title Insurance Company and Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company contributed an aggregate of \$100 million to Cannae in exchange for 5,706,134 shares of Cannae common stock. As of December 31, 2018, these shares represented approximately 7.9% of Cannae's outstanding shares. We will dispose of the Cannae shares as soon as a disposition is warranted consistent with the business reasons for the ownership of the shares, but in no event later than five years after the Split Off. In addition, we are subject to certain restrictions regarding voting of our Cannae shares described under "Voting Agreement" below. In addition, we and Cannae have overlapping executive officers and directors. William P. Foley, II, our non-executive Chairman, is Executive Chairman of Cannae and serves on the boards of directors of FNF and Cannae; Brent B. Bickett, our Executive Vice President of Corporate Strategy is President of Cannae; and Michael L. Gravelle, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, serves as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Cannae. Richard N. Massey also serves on the boards of FNF and Cannae. In order to govern certain of the ongoing relationships between us and Cannae and to provide mechanisms for an orderly transition, we have entered into certain agreements with Cannae, the terms of which are summarized below.

VOTING AGREEMENT

In connection with the Split Off and the issuance of the FNF Cannae shares, we entered into a voting agreement with Cannae (*the voting agreement*), pursuant to which we have agreed to cause our Cannae shares to be counted as present at any meeting of the stockholders of Cannae for the purpose of establishing a quorum. Additionally, under the voting agreement, we agreed to vote all of our Cannae shares in the same manner as, and in the same proportion to, all shares voted by holders of Cannae common stock (other than FNF and our subsidiaries) until the date on which FNF and our subsidiaries no longer beneficially own shares of Cannae common stock. In addition, we will not deposit any of our Cannae shares into a voting trust or grant any proxies or enter into a voting agreement, power of attorney or voting trust with respect to any of our Cannae shares, or take any action that would have the effect of preventing or materially delaying us from performing any of our obligations under the voting agreement.

TAX MATTERS AGREEMENT

We have also entered into a tax matters agreement with Cannae that governs our respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to taxes, the filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax matters.

Under the tax matters agreement, Cannae will pay, or as applicable, indemnify the FNF common for any losses incurred by FNF with respect to (i) any taxes attributable to the operation and investments of the Cannae Group with respect to a pre Split Off taxable period including any reduction to such taxes by reason of the use or offset of any tax item that is allocated to FNF, (ii) any taxes incurred as a result of the contribution and redemption, and (iii) any transfer taxes arising from the contribution and redemption, in each case other than taxes that arise from a disqualifying action. FNF will pay, or as applicable, indemnify the Cannae Group for any losses incurred by the Cannae Group with respect to (i) any taxes imposed by reason of a member of the Cannae Group having been a member of an FNF consolidated group on or prior to the Split Off date, excluding any taxes which Cannae is responsible for as described above, (ii) any reduction in a tax payable by the FNF common by reason of the use or offset of any tax item that is allocated to Cannae, and (iii) any taxes that are attributable to a disqualifying action.

FNF will be responsible for preparing and filing all tax returns which include one or more members of the FNF common and one or more members of the Cannae Group. After the Split Off, Cannae will prepare and file all tax returns of passthrough entities that report taxes that will be reflected on a tax return of a member of the FNF common, and FNF will have review and approval rights with respect to such tax returns.

Generally, each of FNF and Cannae will be entitled to any refunds, credits, or offsets relating to taxes allocated to and paid by its respective group under the tax matters agreement. The members of the Cannae Group must waive their rights to carryback any tax attribute to a pre Split Off taxable period of an FNF consolidated tax return to the extent permitted by applicable law. If such member is unable to elect to forego such carryback, the FNF common will be entitled to any refunds resulting from such carryback.

If a party to the tax matters agreement receives a notice of a tax audit from a tax authority, and believes it may have suffered or could potentially suffer any tax liability for which it may request indemnification, it must inform the party liable to make such indemnification payment (*the indemnifying party*). The indemnifying party has the right to control such audit and compromise or settle such tax audit, provided that the indemnified party must consent to such compromise or settlement to the extent that the indemnified party may be materially affected by such compromise or settlement. However, in the case of an audit relating to the tax-free status of the transactions, FNF and Cannae will have the right to jointly control the audit.

To the extent permitted by applicable tax law, FNF and Cannae agree to treat any payments made under the tax matters agreement as a capital contribution or distribution (as applicable) immediately prior to the Split Off. The amount of any indemnification payment made under the tax matters agreement will be reduced by the amount of any reduction in taxes actually realized by the party receiving such payment as a result of the event giving rise to the indemnification payment by the end of the taxable year in which the indemnity payment is made, and will be increased if and to the extent necessary to ensure that, after all required taxes on the indemnity payment are paid (including taxes applicable to any increases in the indemnity payment), the indemnified party receives the amount it would have received if the indemnity payment was not taxable.

We also agreed with Cannae to terminate any agreements that, due to applicable regulatory requirements, cannot be terminated as of closing of the Split Off (*a Regulatory Agreement*). If, following the Split Off, any member of either the FNF common or the Cannae Group is required, pursuant to any Regulatory Agreement, to make a payment to the other group, the party whose group received such a payment will be required to make a corresponding payment in equal amount to the other party, so that each group will be in the same economic position had such Regulatory Agreement been terminated as of closing.

Finally, Cannae and its subsidiaries will be restricted by certain covenants related to the Split Off. These restrictive covenants require that none of Cannae and its subsidiaries will:

- Take, or fail to take, any action if such action, or failure to act, would be inconsistent with any covenant or representation made by Cannae or any of its subsidiaries in any transaction document, or prohibit certain restructuring transactions related to the Split Off from qualifying for tax-free treatment for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- During the restricted period, enter into any agreement, understanding, arrangement or substantial negotiations, pursuant to which any person or persons would (directly or indirectly) acquire, or have the right to acquire, Cannae equity interests; or
- During the restricted period, discontinue, sell, transfer or cease to maintain its active trade or business.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Cannae and its affiliates may take an action prohibited by the foregoing if (i) FNF receives prior written notice describing the proposed action in reasonable detail, and (ii) Cannae delivers to FNF either (x) an opinion from a nationally recognized U.S. tax advisor providing that the completion of a proposed action by the Cannae Group (or any member thereof) should not affect the tax-free status of the transactions, or (y) a private letter ruling providing that the completion of a proposed action by the Cannae Group would not affect the tax-free status of

the transactions, in each case in form and substance satisfactory to FNF. In addition, under certain circumstances Cannae will be permitted to issue reasonable Cannae equity-based compensation for services rendered to a member of the Cannae Group, provided that such person is permitted to receive Cannae stock under Safe Harbor VIII in Treasury regulations section 1.355-7(d).

CORPORATE SERVICES AGREEMENT

We entered into a corporate services agreement with Cannae (the corporate services agreement) pursuant to which we will provide Cannae with certain specified services, including insurance administration and risk management; other services typically performed by FNF's legal, investor relations, tax, human resources, accounting and internal audit departments; and such other similar services that Cannae may from time to time request or require.

We agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to keep and maintain in effect its relationships with its licensors, vendors and service providers that are integral to the provision of the corporate services to Cannae. The corporate services agreement will continue in effect until the earlier of (i) the date on which the corporate services agreement is terminated by mutual agreement of Cannae and FNF and (ii) the third anniversary of the date on which the corporate services agreement was entered into.

During the initial three years, we will provide these corporate services at no cost, other than reimbursement for reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred by us in connection with providing such services to Cannae. If the corporate services agreement remains in place for three years and is not mutually terminated by Cannae and FNF prior to that time, following the expiration of the initial three-year term, the corporate services agreement will automatically renew for successive one-year terms unless FNF and Cannae mutually agree to terminate the agreement. Prior to any such one-year renewal term, FNF and Cannae will negotiate mutually agreeable arm's length terms for the compensation Cannae will provide to us in exchange for the corporate services during such upcoming one-year term.

REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT

Our title insurance underwriter subsidiaries that own Cannae shares (*the Registration Rights Agreements parties*) entered into registration rights agreements with Cannae. The registration rights agreements provide the Registration Rights Agreements parties, and their permitted transferees, with the right to require Cannae, at its expense, to register shares of Cannae common stock that the Registration Rights Agreements parties hold. The agreements also provide that Cannae will pay certain expenses of these electing holders relating to such registrations and indemnify them against certain liabilities that may arise under the Securities Act. The following description summarizes such rights and circumstances.

Demand Rights

Subject to certain limitations, beginning one-year following the effectiveness of the proxy statement/prospectus related to the Split Off, the Registration Rights Agreements parties (and their permitted transferees) will have the right, by delivering written notice to Cannae, to require Cannae to register the number of shares of common stock requested to be so registered in accordance with the registration rights agreement. Within five days following receipt of notice of a demand registration, we will be required to give written notice to all other beneficial holders of our registrable shares of common stock that have joined the registration rights agreement.

Subject to certain limitations as described below, Cannae will include in the registration all securities with respect to which its receives a written request for inclusion in the registration within ten days after Cannae gives notice. Following the demand request, Cannae is required to use reasonable best efforts to have the applicable registration statement filed with the SEC within a specified period following the demand and is required to use best efforts to cause the registration statement to be declared effective. Any demand registration must include registrable securities having an aggregate market value of at least \$10 million, and holders of Cannae's registrable securities are limited to one demand registration within any nine month period.

Shelf Registration Rights on Form S-3

If Cannae is eligible to file a shelf registration statement on Form S-3, holders of registrable securities with registration rights under the registration rights agreement can request that Cannae register their shares for resale. Within five days following receipt of notice of a Form S-3 registration request, Cannae will be required to give written notice to all other beneficial holders of registrable shares of common stock that have joined the registration rights agreement. Subject to certain limitations as described below, Cannae will include in the Form S-3 registration all securities with respect to which it has received a written request for inclusion in the registration within seven days after it gives notice. Following such request, Cannae is required to use reasonable efforts to have the shelf registration statement declared effective. No Form S-3 registration request may be made within nine months following a prior demand or request.

In addition, once a shelf registration statement has been declared effective by the SEC pursuant to the forgoing, thereafter, from time to time, any holder of registrable securities that has joined the registration rights agreement may, by notice to Cannae, require Cannae to register such holder's registrable securities pursuant to the shelf registration statement.

Piggyback Rights

Holders of registrable shares of common stock under the registration rights agreement will be entitled to request to participate in, or "piggyback" on, registrations of certain securities for sale by Cannae at any time after the Split Off. This piggyback right will apply to any registration other than registration statements relating to any employee benefit plans, registration statements related to the issuance or resale of securities issued in connection with transactions or corporate reorganizations under Rule 145 of the Securities Act, or registration statements related to stock issued upon conversion of debt securities.

Conditions and Limitations

The registration rights are subject to conditions and limitations, including the right of the underwriters to limit the number of shares to be included in a registration statement and Cannae's right to delay, suspend or withdraw a registration statement under specified circumstances. Additionally, in certain circumstances Cannae may withdraw a registration upon request by the holder of registrable securities.

REVOLVER NOTE

We entered into a revolver note with Cannae, which allows Cannae to borrow revolving loans from us from time to time in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$100 million. The proceeds of the revolving loans may be used for investment purposes and working capital needs. The revolving

loans accrue interest at LIBOR plus 450 basis points and mature on the five year anniversary of the date of the revolver note. The maturity date is automatically extended for additional five year terms unless notice of non renewal is otherwise provided by either FNF or Cannae, in their sole discretion. We did not fund any loans under the revolver note with Cannae during the year ended December 31, 2018 and there was no outstanding balance as of December 31, 2018.

AGREEMENTS WITH BLACK KNIGHT

As a result of the Spin-Off, FNF and Black Knight are separate independent companies. Mr. Foley, our Chairman of the Board, also serves as Executive Chairman of Black Knight and is a director of both FNF and Black Knight, and Mr. Gravelle, our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, serves as Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Black Knight. In addition, Thomas M. Hagerty, Richard N. Massey and John D. Rood serve on the boards of directors of both FNF and Black Knight. In order to govern certain of the ongoing relationships between us and Black Knight following the Spin-Off, we have entered into certain agreements with Black Knight, the terms of which are summarized below.

TAX MATTERS AGREEMENT

In connection with the Spin-Off, we entered into a tax matters agreement with Black Knight that governs our respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to taxes, the filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax matters.

Under the tax matters agreement, we will be required to indemnify Black Knight for (i) any taxes of Black Knight or its subsidiaries New BKH Corp. (*New BKH*) or Black Knight Holdings, Inc. (*BKHI*) (except for taxes otherwise required to be indemnified by Black Knight, as described below) with respect to a pre Spin-Off taxable period, (ii) any taxes (except for taxes otherwise required to be indemnified by us) pursuant to Treasury regulations Section 1.1502-6 (or comparable provision under any other applicable law) by reason of New BKH, BKHI, or Black Knight having been a member of an FNF common on or prior to the Spin-Off date, (iii) any taxes resulting from the contributions or the Spin-Off failing to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the IRC and a distribution to which Section 355 of the IRC applies, (iv) any taxes arising as a result of the separation (other than taxes set forth in clause (iii), above), and (v) all transfer taxes, except, in each case, for taxes that arise from or are attributable to what we refer to as a Black Knight disqualifying action (as such term is described below).

Black Knight will be required to indemnify the FNF common for (i) any taxes of New BKH or Black Knight attributable to a post Spin-Off taxable period, (ii) any taxes, including with respect to a pre Spin-Off taxable period, attributable to the ownership of BKFS LLC units by BKHI and other FNF common members (excluding any taxes arising from any transfer of the BKFS LLC units by BKHI or any FNF common member) except to the extent BKFS LLC previously made a tax distribution to BKHI or an FNF common member in respect of such taxes, and (iii) any taxes that arise from or are attributable to (a) any action by Black Knight or any of its subsidiaries, or the failure to take any action within their control which, negates the tax-free status of the transactions; or (b) direct or indirect changes in ownership of Black Knight or New BKH equity interests that cause the Spin-Off to be a taxable event to FNF as a result of the application of Section 355(e) of the IRC or to be a

taxable event as a result of a failure to satisfy the “continuity of interest” or “device” requirements for tax-free treatment under Section 355 of the IRC (clauses (a) and (b), together, which we refer to as Black Knight disqualifying actions.

FNF will be responsible for preparing and filing all tax returns that include one or more members of the FNF common and one or more members of the Black Knight Group for taxable periods beginning on or before the Spin-Off date. After the Spin-Off date, Black Knight will prepare and file all tax returns filed by BKFS LLC that report taxes that will be reflected on a tax return of a member of the FNF common, and FNF will have review and approval rights with respect to such tax returns.

Generally, each of FNF and Black Knight will be entitled to any refunds, credits, or offsets relating to taxes allocated to and paid by its respective group under the tax matters agreement. The members of the Black Knight Group will be required to waive their rights to carryback any tax attribute to a pre Spin-Off taxable period of an FNF consolidated tax return to the extent permitted by applicable law. If such member is unable to elect to forego such carryback, FNF will be entitled to any refunds resulting from such carryback.

If a party to the tax matters agreement receives a notice of a tax audit from a tax authority, and believes it may have suffered or could potentially suffer any tax liability for which it may request indemnification, it must inform the party liable to make such indemnification payment, which we refer to as the *indemnifying party*. The indemnifying party will have the right to control such audit and compromise or settle such tax audit, provided that the indemnified party must consent to such compromise or settlement to the extent that the indemnified party may be materially affected by such compromise or settlement. FNF and Black Knight will each have the right to jointly control any audit or proceeding relating to taxes incurred in connection with a failure of the separation to qualify for tax-free treatment, and neither FNF nor Black Knight will be permitted to compromise or settle any such audit or proceeding without the other party’s consent.

To the extent permitted by applicable tax law, FNF, Black Knight and New BKH agree to treat any payments made under the tax matters agreement as a capital contribution or distribution (as applicable) immediately prior to the Spin-Off. The amount of any indemnification payment made under the tax matters agreement will be reduced by the amount of any reduction in taxes actually realized by the party receiving such payment as a result of the event giving rise to the indemnification payment by the end of the taxable year in which the indemnity payment is made, and will be increased if and to the extent necessary to ensure that, after all required taxes on the indemnity payment are paid (including taxes applicable to any increases in the indemnity payment), the indemnified party receives the amount it would have received if the indemnity payment was not taxable.

Finally, Black Knight and its subsidiaries are restricted by certain covenants related to the Spin-Off. These restrictive covenants require that none of Black Knight and its subsidiaries will:

- Take, or fail to take, any action following the Spin-Off if such action, or failure to act, would be inconsistent with any covenant or representation made by Black Knight or any of its subsidiaries in any transaction document, or prohibit certain separation transactions related to the Spin-Off or the Spin-Off from qualifying for tax-free treatment for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

- During the restricted period, enter into any agreement, understanding, arrangement or substantial negotiations, pursuant to which any person or persons would (directly or indirectly) acquire, or have the right to acquire, Black Knight or New BKH equity interests (other than in connection with the mergers); or
- During the restricted period, sell or transfer, or cease to actively engage in, its active trade or business for purposes of Section 355(b) of the IRC.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, Black Knight and its affiliates may take an action prohibited by the foregoing if (i) FNF receives prior written notice describing the proposed action in reasonable detail, and (ii) Black Knight delivers to FNF either (x) an opinion from a nationally recognized U.S. tax advisor providing that the completion of a proposed action by the Black Knight Group (or any member thereof) would not affect the tax-free status of the transactions; or (y) a private letter ruling from the IRS providing that the completion of a proposed action by the Black Knight Group should not affect the tax-free status of the transactions, in each case in form and substance satisfactory to FNF; provided, however, that under certain circumstances, Black Knight shall be permitted to (A) redeem its shares on the open market pursuant to a certain share repurchase program and to issue reasonable Black Knight equity-based compensation for services rendered to a member of the Black Knight Group if such person is permitted to receive Black Knight stock under Safe Harbor VIII in Treasury regulations section 1.355-7(d), (B) repurchase equity interests of Black Knight from any person who acquired such equity interests pursuant to the BKFS merger or the Interest Exchange Agreement entered into between Black Knight and THL, or (C) issue equity in the manner described in U.S. Treasury Regulation Section 1.355-7(d)(9).

SERVICES AGREEMENTS

We have various agreements with Black Knight, including certain of its subsidiaries, pursuant to which Black Knight provides technology, data and analytics services, as well as corporate shared services and information technology. In addition, we provide certain corporate services to Black Knight, including certain legal services and corporate administrative services. Pursuant to these arrangements, during the year ended December 31, 2018, we received \$ 57 million in revenues and recorded \$12 million in operating expenses from Black Knight and its subsidiaries.

SALES PROMOTION AGREEMENT

In connection with the Spin-Off, we entered into a Sales Promotion Agreement with Black Knight, which we refer to as the sales promotion agreement. Pursuant to the agreement, each party agrees to co operate with the other party in promoting such party's products and services to its customers. If the promotional activities are mutually advantageous, each party shall identify any customers who may be interested in the services of the other party, so that the parties can coordinate appropriate engagement of such promotional activities. The sales promotion agreement has an initial term of five years, and will renew automatically for additional five year terms unless terminated by either party with at least 90 days written notice prior to the start of the next term.

NON-COMPETITION AGREEMENT

In connection with the Spin-Off, we entered into a Non Competition Agreement with Black Knight, which we refer to as the non competition agreement. Pursuant to the agreement, Black Knight will not, among other things, without our prior written consent, engage in or acquire any businesses engaged in title generation/escrow services, appraisal, or default and field services work (other than technology solutions for such services). Such restrictions are subject to an exception allowing Black Knight to acquire a business engaged in such restricted services if at least 90% of such business' revenue is contributed by activities other than such restricted activities. Black Knight also agreed not to engage in certain transactions such as a merger, sale of assets, or sale of greater than 5% of its equity interests to a buyer that derives 10% or more of its revenue from such restricted services. The non competition agreement terminates on the tenth anniversary of the date of entry into such agreement.

CROSS-INDEMNITY AGREEMENT

Our ServiceLink subsidiary has entered into a cross indemnity agreement with Black Knight. Pursuant to the cross indemnity agreement, Black Knight indemnifies ServiceLink for liabilities relating to, arising out of or resulting from the conduct of Black Knight's business or any action, suit or proceeding in which we or any of our subsidiaries are named by reason of being a successor to the business of LPS and the cause of such action, suit or proceeding relates to the business of Black Knight. In return, we indemnify Black Knight for liabilities relating to, arising out of, or resulting from the conduct of our business.

OTHER RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During 2018, certain entities owned or controlled by our non-executive Chairman, William P. Foley II, paid us an aggregate of \$42,000 for information technology support services. Amounts paid to the Company by entities owned or controlled by Mr. Foley are believed to be at market rates for similar services or at the cost to provide the service incurred by the Company. Also, during 2018, we paid, in the ordinary course of business, amounts to certain companies owned in whole or part by Mr. Foley including: \$297,857 to Rock Creek Cattle Company, Ltd. and affiliated companies related primarily to hosting Company events, \$42,599 to Foley Family Wines for wine purchases related to employee recognitions, and \$164,036 to Mr. Foley's other affiliated companies primarily for travel to and hosting Company events. We believe the amounts charged to us in the foregoing transactions were fair and reasonable and represent market rates that would be charged to unaffiliated third party customers for the same types of services. We believe that FNF receives intangible business benefits as a result of these activities as they foster increased loyalty to the Company.

In February 2018, we purchased Glacier Lake Properties, a real estate brokerage company in White Fish, Montana, in which Mr. Foley directly and indirectly owned an aggregate 52.5% interest, for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,500,000.

Sara Bennett, the daughter in law of Mr. Quirk, is an attorney who is employed by a subsidiary of the Company as underwriting counsel. In 2018, Ms. Bennett's gross earnings were \$368,000, which is consistent with other employees holding similar titles at the Company. She also received health and other benefits customarily provided to similarly situated employees.

Our audit committee has reviewed and approved each of the transactions described above in accordance with the terms of our Code of Conduct related to the approval of related party transactions, which are described below.

REVIEW, APPROVAL OR RATIFICATION OF TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

Pursuant to our codes of ethics, a “conflict of interest” occurs when an individual’s private interest interferes or appears to interfere with our interests, and can arise when a director, officer or employee takes actions or has interests that may make it difficult to perform his or her work objectively and effectively. Anything that would present a conflict for a director, officer or employee would also likely present a conflict if it is related to a member of his or her family. Our code of ethics states that clear conflict of interest situations involving directors, executive officers and other employees who occupy supervisory positions or who have discretionary authority in dealing with any third party specified below may include the following:

- Any significant ownership interest in any supplier or customer;
- Any consulting or employment relationship with any customer, supplier or competitor; and
- Selling anything to us or buying anything from us, except on the same terms and conditions as comparable directors, officers or employees are permitted to so purchase or sell.

It is our policy to review all relationships and transactions in which we and our directors or executive officers (or their immediate family members) are participants in order to determine whether the director or officer in question has or may have a direct or indirect material interest. Our Chief Compliance Officer, together with our legal staff, is primarily responsible for developing and implementing procedures to obtain the necessary information from our directors and officers regarding transactions to/from related persons. Any material transaction or relationship that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest must be discussed promptly with our Chief Compliance Officer. The Chief Compliance Officer, together with our legal staff, then reviews the transaction or relationship, and considers the material terms of the transaction or relationship, including the importance of the transaction or relationship to us, the nature of the related person’s interest in the transaction or relationship, whether the transaction or relationship would likely impair the judgment of a director or executive officer to act in our best interest, and any other factors such officer deems appropriate. After reviewing the facts and circumstances of each transaction, the Chief Compliance Officer, with assistance from the legal staff, determines whether the director or officer in question (or their immediate family member) has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction and whether or not to approve the transaction in question.

With respect to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, our codes of ethics require that each such officer must:

- Discuss any material transaction or relationship that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest with our General Counsel;

- In the case of our Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, obtain the prior written approval of our General Counsel for all material transactions or relationships that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest; and
- In the case of our Chief Executive Officer, obtain the prior written approval of the audit committee for all material transactions that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest.

In the case of any material transactions or relationships involving our Chief Financial Officer or our Chief Accounting Officer, the General Counsel must submit a list of any approved material transactions semi annually to the audit committee for its review.

Under Securities and Exchange Commission rules, certain transactions in which we are or will be a participant and in which our directors, executive officers, certain shareholders and certain other related persons had or will have a direct or indirect material interest are required to be disclosed in this related person transactions section of our proxy statement. In addition to the procedures above, our audit committee reviews and approves or ratifies any such transactions that are required to be disclosed. The committee makes these decisions based on its consideration of all relevant factors. The review may be before or after the commencement of the transaction. If a transaction is reviewed and not approved or ratified, the committee may recommend a course of action to be taken.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, requires the Company's executive officers and directors to file reports of their ownership, and changes in ownership, of the Company's common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Executive officers and directors are required by the Securities and Exchange Commission's regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all forms they file pursuant to Section 16 and the Company is required to report in this Proxy Statement any failure of its directors and executive officers to file by the relevant due date any of these reports during fiscal year 2018. Based solely upon a review of these reports, we believe all directors and executive officers of the Company complied with the requirements of Section 16(a) in 2018.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Any proposal that a shareholder wishes to be considered for inclusion in the proxy and proxy statement relating to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in 2020, including submissions of shareholder director nominations in accordance with the proxy access procedures set forth in our bylaws, must be received by the Company no later than January 1, 2020. Any other proposal that a shareholder wishes to bring before the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders without inclusion of such proposal in the Company's proxy materials must also be received by the Company no later than January 1, 2020. Any nominations pursuant to our proxy access bylaw must also be received by the Company no later than January 1, 2020. All proposals and nominations must comply with the applicable requirements or conditions established by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Company's bylaws, which requires among other things, certain information to be provided

in connection with the submission of shareholder proposals. All proposals and nominations must be directed to the Secretary of the Company at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204. The persons designated as proxies by the Company in connection with the 2020 Annual Meeting of Shareholders will have discretionary voting authority with respect to any shareholder proposal for which the Company does not receive timely notice.

OTHER MATTERS

The Company knows of no other matters to be submitted at the meeting. If any other matters properly come before the meeting, the enclosed proxy card confers discretionary authority on the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote as they deem appropriate on such matters. It is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote the shares in accordance with their best judgment.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

The Company files Annual Reports on Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission. A copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (except for certain exhibits thereto), including our audited financial statements and financial statement schedules, may be obtained, free of charge, upon written request by any shareholder to Fidelity National Financial, Inc., 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204, Attention: Investor Relations. Copies of all exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K are available upon a similar request, subject to reimbursing the Company for its expenses in supplying any exhibit.

By Order of the Board of Directors



Raymond R. Quirk
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: April 30, 2019



FIDELITY NATIONAL FINANCIAL, INC.

601 RIVERSIDE AVENUE
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32204